arXiv:1004.2646v1 [math.AP] 15 Apr 2010

N-SOLITON STATES OF THE FPU LATTICES

TETSU MIZUMACHI

Abstract. In this paper, we prove existence and uniqueness of solutions to the Fermi Pasta Ulam lattice equation that converge to a sum of co-propagating N solitary waves as $t \to \infty$ using linear stability property of multi-soliton like solutions in an exponentially weighted space proved by Mizumachi [17]. Counter-propagating two soliton states have been studied by [Hoffman and Wayne, Asymptotic two-soliton solutions in the Fermi-Pasta-Ulam model, J. Dynam. Differential Equations 21 (2009), 343–351].

1. Introduction

In this paper, we prove existence and uniqueness of N-soliton like solutions to FPU lattices

$$\ddot{q}(t,n) = V'(q(t,n+1) - q(t,n)) - V'(q(t,n) - q(t,n-1)) \quad \text{for} \in \mathbb{R} \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{1.1}$$

which models an infinite chain of anharmonic oscillators with nearest-neighbor interaction potential V. Making use of the change of variables

$$p(t,n) = \dot{q}(t,n) \quad r(t,n) = q(t,n+1) - q(t,n),$$

we can translate (1.1) into a Hamiltonian system

$$\frac{du}{dt} = JH'(u), \tag{1.2}$$

where $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e^{\partial} - 1 \\ 1 - e^{-\partial} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $e^{\pm\partial}$ are the shift operators defined by $(e^{\pm\partial})f(n) = f(n \pm 1)$ and

$$H(u(t)) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} \left(\frac{1}{2}p(t,n)^2 + V(r(t,n))\right) \quad \text{(Hamiltonian)}.$$

FPU lattices have solitary waves due to a balance between nonlinearity and dispersion caused by discreteness of a spatial variable. Friesecke and Wattis [6] prove that (1.2) has two parameter family of solitary wave solutions $\{u_c(n - ct - \gamma) : c \in (-\infty, -1) \cup (1, \infty),\ \gamma \in \mathbb{R}\}$, where $u_c = \begin{pmatrix} r_c \\ p_c \end{pmatrix}$ is a solution of

$$c\partial_x u_c + JH'(u_c) = 0. \tag{1.3}$$

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37K40,37K60.

Key words and phrases. FPU lattices, asymptotic N-solitons, infinite dimensional Hamiltonian system.

In [3, 4, 5], Friesecke and Pego prove stability of 1-soliton solutions of FPU lattices in an exponentially weighted space that are biased in the direction of motion of solitary waves. They utilize the fact the main solitary wave of a solution to (1.2) outruns from the other part of solutions. Mizumachi [16] has shown that 1-solitons of (1.2) are stable to perturbation in the energy class.

If $V(r) = a(e^{br} - 1 - br)$ and $ab > 0$, then (1.2) is an integrable system so-called Toda lattice and has explicit N-soliton solutions (see [19]). That is, Toda lattice has a family of solutions which converge to a sum of N solitary waves as $t \to \pm\infty$ and solitary waves do not change their shape by collision. However, it is not obvious whether multi-soliton like solutions can exist stably in the non-integrable case.

Existence and uniqueness of asymptotic N-soliton states of generalized KdV equations has been proved by Martel [11] (see also [12]). His idea is to use stability theory of multi-solitons by Martel-Merle-Tsai [15] and monotonicity properties of localized L^2 norms to ensure uniqueness of the asymptotic N-soliton states. Recently, Martel and Merle [13, 14] prove that nonlinear interaction between solitary waves causes defect of solitary waves in a setting different from nearly integrable cases (see e.g. Hiraoka and Kodama [10]).

One of the difference between FPU lattices and the KdV equation is that a solitary wave of FPU lattices cannot be characterized as a critical point of a conserved quantity due to the lack of infinitesimal invariance of the spatial variable. Developing the method of [2, 3, 4, 5], Hoffman and Wayne [8] studied stability and head-on collision of 2-soliton states waves propagating to the opposite direction. They also prove the existence of solutions that converge to a sum of counter-propagating solitary waves (see [9]). If solitary waves move to the same direction, the interaction through their tales are effective for a longer period and we cannot derive strong linear stability of co-propagating multi-solitons from [4, 5] as was done by Hoffman and Wayne [8, 9]. Recently, Mizumachi [17] has proved stability of co-propagating N-soliton like solutions.

In this paper, we prove existence and uniqueness of solutions which converge to a sum of N solitary waves moving to the same direction replacing the variational argument of Martel [11] by the strong linear stability property of multi-solitons in exponentially weighted spaces proved in [17].

Now, let us introduce our result.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose*

$$\text{(H1)} \qquad V \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R};\mathbb{R}), \quad V(0) = V'(0) = 0, \quad V''(0) = 1, \quad V'''(0) = \tfrac{1}{6}.$$

Let $k_N > \cdots > k_1 > 0$ *and* $c_{i,+} = 1 + \frac{(k_i\varepsilon)^2}{6}$ *for* $1 \le i \le N$. *There exists a positive number* ε_0 *such that for any* $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ *and* $\gamma_{i,+} \in \mathbb{R}$ $(1 \le i \le N)$, *there exists a unique solution* $u(t)$ *of*

(1.2) *satisfying*

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\left\|u(\cdot,t)-\sum_{i=1}^N u_{c_{i,+}}(\cdot-c_{i,+}t-\gamma_{i,+})\right\|_{l^2}=0. \tag{1.4}$$

Furthermore, there exists a $\beta>0$ *such that*

$$\left\|u(\cdot,t)-\sum_{i=1}^N u_{c_{i,+}}(\cdot-c_{i,+}t-\gamma_{i,+})\right\|_{l^2}=O(\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}e^{-\beta\varepsilon h(t)})\quad \textit{as } t\to\infty, \tag{1.5}$$

where $h(t)=\min\{(c_{j,+}-c_{j-1,+})t+\gamma_{j,+}-\gamma_{j-1,+}\,|\,2\le j\le N\}$.

Our plan of the present paper is as follows: In Section 2, we will show uniform boundedness of a sequence $\{u_n(t)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, where $u_n(t)$ is a solution of (1.2) which equals to a sum of N solitary waves at $t=n$. Since the interaction between co-propagating solitary waves are not strongly localized in space as counter-propagating 2-solitons, co-propagating solitary waves cannot be expected to be bounded in $e^{-a|n|}l^2$ and the compactness argument of [9] does not work. In Section 3, we will use monotonicity of localized norms of $u_m(t)-u_n(t)$ to prove $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence in l^2. Using estimates obtained in Section 3, we prove uniqueness of solutions that converges to an N-soliton state in Section 4.

In this paper, we will use the following properties of solitary wave solutions proved by [2].

- (P1) Let $c_*>1$ be a constant sufficiently close to 1. For any $c\in(1,c_*]$, there exists a unique single hump solution u_c of (1.3) in l^2 up to translation in x.
- (P2) There exists an open interval I such that $V''(r)>0$ for every $r\in I$ and that $\overline{\{r_c(x):x\in\mathbb{R}\}}\subset I$ for every $c\in(1,1+c_*]$.
- (P3) The solitary wave energy $H(u_c)$ satisfies $dH(u_c)/dc\neq 0$ for $c\in(1,c_*]$.
- (P4) As c tends to 1, a shape of solitary wave solution becomes similar to that of a KdV 1-soliton. .More precisely,

$$\sum_{j=0}^2\varepsilon^j\left\|\partial_\varepsilon^j\left(\varepsilon^{-2}r_c\left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}\right)-\operatorname{sech}^2 x\right)\right\|_{H^5(\mathbb{R};e^{2a|x|}dx)}=O(\varepsilon^2)\quad\text{for } a\in[0,2).$$

Finally, let us introduce several notations. Let

$$\|u\|_{X_k^n(t)}=\|e^{-k_1\varepsilon(\cdot-x_k^n(t))}u\|_{l^2},\quad \|u\|_{X_k^n(t)^*}=\|e^{k_1\varepsilon(\cdot-x_k^n(t))}u\|_{l^2},$$

$$\|u\|_{W_k^n(t)}=\sum_{i=1}^k\|e^{-k_1\varepsilon|\cdot-x_i^n(t)|}u\|_{l^2},\quad \|u\|_{W_k^n(t)^*}=\min_{i=1}^k\|e^{k_1\varepsilon|\cdot-x_i^n(t)|}u\|_{l^2},$$

$$\|u\|_{\widetilde{W}_k^n(t)}=\sum_{i=1}^k\|e^{-k_1\varepsilon|\cdot-x_i^n(t)|}u\|_{l^1},\quad \|u\|_{\widetilde{W}_k^n(t)^*}=\min_{i=1}^k\|e^{k_1\varepsilon|\cdot-x_i^n(t)|}u\|_{l^\infty}.$$

We abbreviate $W_N^n(t)$ as $W^n(t)$. For Banach spaces X and Y, we denote by $B(X,Y)$ the space of all linear continuous operators from X to Y and abbreviate $B(X,X)$ as $B(X)$. We use $a\lesssim b$ and $a=O(b)$ to mean that there exists a positive constant such that $a\le Cb$.

2. Approximation of N-soliton like states

Let $x_{i,+}(t) = c_{i,+}t + \gamma_{i,+}$ and let $u^n(t)$ be a solution to

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u^n = JH'(u^n), \\ u^n(n) = \displaystyle\sum_{i=1}^{N} u_{c_{i,+}}(\cdot - x_{i,+}(n)). \end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

In this section, we will prove uniform boundedness of $u^n(t)$ for $t \in [T, n]$. To apply the strong linear stability property in exponentially weighted spaces (Lemma 2.5), we will decompose u^n into a sum of solitary waves and remainder parts following the idea of [17].

In view of the proof of [16, Proposition 1], we have $u^n(t) \in l^2_a \cap l^2_{-a}$ for any $0 \le a < 2\kappa(c_1)$, where $\kappa(c_1)$ is a solution to $\frac{\sinh\kappa}{\kappa} = c_1$. Let P^n_k and Q^n_k be projections defined on l^2_{-a} $(0 < a < 2\kappa(c^n_1))$ such that $P^n_k + Q^n_k = I$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Range} P^n_k &= \operatorname{span}\{\partial_x u_{c^n_i}(\cdot - x^n_i), \partial_c u_{c^n_i}(\cdot - x^n_i) \mid 1 \le i \le k\}, \\ {}^\perp \operatorname{Range} Q^n_k &= \operatorname{span}\{J^{-1}\partial_x u_{c^n_i}(\cdot - x^n_i), J^{-1}\partial_c u_{c^n_i}(\cdot - x^n_i) \mid 1 \le i \le k\}, \end{aligned}$$

where J is a bounded inverse of J on l^2_a $(a > 0)$. That is,

$$J^{-1} = -\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sum_{k=1}^\infty e^{k\partial} \\ \sum_{k=0}^\infty e^{k\partial} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.2}$$

Let $v^n_k(t)$ $(1 \le k \le N)$ be a solution of

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v^n_k = JH''(U^n_k)v^n_k + l^n_k + Q^n_k J R^n_k, \\ v^n_k(n) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{2.3}$$

where $w^n_0 = 0$, $w^n_k = \sum_{i=1}^k v^n_i$,

$$U^n_0(t) = 0, \quad U^n_k(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} u_{c^n_i(t)}(\cdot - x^n_i(t)), \tag{2.4}$$

$$R^n_k = H'(U^n_k + w^n_k) - H'(U^n_{k-1} + w^n_{k-1}) - H'(u_{c^n_k}(\cdot - x^n_k)) - H''(U^n_k)v^n_k, \tag{2.5}$$

$$l^n_k = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \left(\alpha^n_{ik}\partial_c u_{c^n_i}(\cdot - x^n_i) + \beta^n_{ik}\partial_x u_{c^n_i}(\cdot - x^n_i)\right). \tag{2.6}$$

We will choose $\alpha^n_{ik}(t)$, $\beta^n_{ik}(t)$, $x^n_i(t)$ and $c^n_i(t)$ so that v^n_k satisfies the symplectic orthogonality condition (2.10) and that $U^n_N + w^n_N$ is a solution of (2.1).

Let

$$\mathcal{A}_k^n = \left(\mathcal{A}_{i,j}^n\right)_{\substack{i=1,\cdots,k\downarrow\\ j=1,\cdots,k\rightarrow}}, \quad \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_k^n = \left(\mathcal{A}_{i,j}^n\right)_{\substack{i=1,\cdots,N\downarrow\\ j=1,\cdots,k\rightarrow}}, \quad \delta\mathcal{A}_k^n = \operatorname{diag}(\delta\mathcal{A}_{ik}^n)_{1\le i\le k},$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{i,j}^n = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon^{-1}\langle \partial_c u_{c_j^n}(\cdot - x_j^n), J^{-1}\partial_x u_{c_i^n}(\cdot - x_i^n)\rangle & \varepsilon^{-4}\langle \partial_x u_{c_j^n}(\cdot - x_j^n), J^{-1}\partial_x u_{c_i}(\cdot - x_i^n)\rangle \\ \varepsilon^{2}\langle \partial_c u_{c_j^n}(\cdot - x_j^n), J^{-1}\partial_c u_{c_i^n}(\cdot - x_i^n)\rangle & \varepsilon^{-1}\langle \partial_x u_{c_j^n}(\cdot - x_j^n), J^{-1}\partial_c u_{c_i}(\cdot - x_i^n)\rangle \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\delta\mathcal{A}_{ik}^n = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon^{-1}\langle v_k^n, J^{-1}\partial_c\partial_x u_{c_i^n}(\cdot - x_i^n)\rangle & \varepsilon^{-4}\langle v_k^n, J^{-1}\partial_x^2 u_{c_i^n}(\cdot - x_i^n)\rangle \\ \varepsilon^{2}\langle v_k^n, J^{-1}\partial_c^2 u_{c_i}(\cdot - x_i^n)\rangle & \varepsilon^{-1}\langle v_k^n, J^{-1}\partial_c\partial_x u_{c_i^n}(\cdot - x_i^n)\rangle \end{pmatrix}.$$

Remark 2.1. We will use exponentially weighted spaces $X_k^n(t)^*$ to prove Theorem 1.1. The weight function of $X_k^n(t)^*$ is monotone decreasing whereas the weight function of $X_k(t)$ used in [17] is monotone increasing. This is because we will solve (1.2) backward in time. The order of the decomposition of nonlinearity of (1.2) in (2.3) is the opposite from that in [17, (2.6)].

Let

$$\delta R_{1k}^n(i) = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon^{-4}\langle R_k^n, \partial_x u_{c_i^n}(\cdot - x_i^n)\rangle \\ \varepsilon^{-1}\langle R_k^n, \partial_c u_{c_i^n}(\cdot - x_i^n)\rangle \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\delta R_{2k}^n(i) = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon^{-4}\langle v_k^n, (H''(U_k^n) - H''(u_{c_i^n}(\cdot - x_i^n)))\partial_x u_{c_i^n}(\cdot - x_i^n)\rangle \\ \varepsilon^{-1}\langle v_k^n, (H''(U_k^n) - H''(u_{c_i^n}(\cdot - x_i^n)))\partial_c u_{c_i^n}(\cdot - x_i^n)\rangle \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\delta R_{1k}^n = \left(\delta R_{1k}^n(i)\right)_{i=1,\cdots,k\downarrow}, \quad \delta R_{2k}^n = \left(\delta R_{2k}^n(i)\right)_{i=1,\cdots,k\downarrow},$$

$$\delta\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_k^n = \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_k^n(\mathcal{A}_k^n)^{-1}\delta\mathcal{A}_k^n, \quad \delta\widetilde{R}_{1k}^n = \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_k^n(\mathcal{A}_k^n)^{-1}\delta R_{1k}, \quad \delta\widetilde{R}_{2k}^n = \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_k^n(\mathcal{A}_k^n)^{-1}\delta R_{2k}.$$

Lemma 2.1. *Let v_i^n $(1 \le i \le N)$ be solutions of* (2.3). *Suppose*

$$x_i^n(n) = x_{i,+}(n), \quad c_i^n(n) = c_{i,+}, \tag{2.7}$$

$$\left(\mathcal{A}_N^n - \sum_{k=1}^N \delta\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_k^n\right)\begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon^{-3}\dot{c}_i^n \\ c_i^n - \dot{x}_i^n \end{pmatrix}_{i=1,\cdots,N\downarrow} + \sum_{k=1}^N (\delta\widetilde{R}_{1k}^n + \delta\widetilde{R}_{2k}^n) = 0, \tag{2.8}$$

and that α_{ij}^n and β_{ij}^n $(1 \le i \le k \le N)$ are C^1-functions satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{A}_k^n \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon^{-3}\alpha_{ik}^n(t) \\ \beta_{ik}^n(t) \end{pmatrix}_{i=1,\cdots,k\downarrow} \\ &= \delta R_{2k}^n + \delta\mathcal{A}_k^n E_{k,N}(\mathcal{A}_N^n - \sum_{k=1}^N \delta\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_k^n)^{-1}\sum_{k=1}^N(\delta\widetilde{R}_{1k}^n + \delta\widetilde{R}_{2k}^n), \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

where $E_{k,N} = (\delta_{ij})_{\substack{i=1,\cdots,2k\downarrow\\ j=1,\cdots,2N\rightarrow}}$. Then v_k^n $(1 \le k \le N)$ satisfies

$$\langle v_k^n(t), J^{-1}\partial_x u_{c_i^n(t)}(\cdot - x_i^n(t))\rangle = \langle v_k^n(t), J^{-1}\partial_c u_{c_i^n(t)}(\cdot - x_i^n(t))\rangle = 0 \tag{2.10}$$

for $1 \le i \le k$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, $U_N^n + w_N^n$ is a solution of (2.1).

Proof. Due to the symmetry of (1.3) with respect to x and c, we have

$$c\partial_x^2 u_c + JH''(u_c)\partial_x u_c = 0, \quad c\partial_c\partial_x u_c + JH''(u_c)\partial_c u_c = -\partial_x u_c. \tag{2.11}$$

By (2.8) and (2.9),

$$\mathcal{A}_k^n(t)\begin{pmatrix}\varepsilon^{-3}\alpha_{jk}^n(t)\\ \beta_{jk}^n(t)\end{pmatrix}_{1\le j\le k\downarrow} = \delta R_{2k}^n(t) - \delta\mathcal{A}_k^n(t)\begin{pmatrix}\varepsilon^{-3}\dot{c}_j^n(t)\\ c_j^n(t)-\dot{x}_j^n(t)\end{pmatrix}_{1\le j\le k\downarrow}. \tag{2.12}$$

Differentiating (2.10) with respect to t and substituting (2.3) and (2.12) into the resulting equations, we have for $1\le i\le k$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\langle v_k^n, J^{-1}\partial_x u_{c_j^n}(\cdot - x_j^n(t))\rangle\\ =&\langle l_k^n, J^{-1}\partial_x u_{c_j^n}\rangle - \langle v_k^n, (H''(U_k^n)-H''(u_{c_j^n}))\partial_x u_{c_j^n}\rangle\\ &-(\dot{x}_j^n - c_j^n)\langle v_k^n, J^{-1}\partial_x^2 u_{c_j^n}\rangle + \dot{c}_j^n\langle v_k^n, J^{-1}\partial_c\partial_x u_{c_j^n}\rangle = 0,\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\langle v_k^n, J^{-1}\partial_c u_{c_j^n}(\cdot - x_j^n(t))\rangle\\ =&\langle l_k^n, J^{-1}\partial_c u_{c_j^n}\rangle - \langle v_k^n, (H''(U_k^n)-H''(u_{c_j^n}))\partial_c u_{c_j^n}\rangle + \langle v_k^n, J^{-1}\partial_x u_{c_j^n}\rangle\\ &-(\dot{x}_j^n - c_j^n)\langle v_k^n, J^{-1}\partial_c\partial_x u_{c_j^n}\rangle + \dot{c}_j^n\langle v_k^n, J^{-1}\partial_c^2 u_{c_j^n}\rangle\\ =&\langle v_k^n, J^{-1}\partial_x u_{c_j^n}\rangle.\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

Since $v_k^n(n)=0$, we have (2.10).

Finally, we will prove $u^n = U_N^n + w_N^n$. It follows from (2.3) and the definition of U_k that for $k=1,\cdots,N$,

$$\partial_t(U_k^n + w_k^n) = JH'(U_k^n + w_k^n) + \tilde{l}_k^n + \sum_{i=1}^k (l_i^n - P_i^n JR_i^n), \tag{2.15}$$

where $\tilde{l}_k^n = \sum_{i=1}^k\{\dot{c}_i^n\partial_c u_{c_i^n} - (\dot{x}_i^n - c_i^n)\partial_x u_{c_i^n}\}$. Hence it suffices to show

$$\tilde{l}_N^n + \sum_{i=1}^N (l_i^n - P_i^n JR_i^n) = 0. \tag{2.16}$$

The projection $P_k^n(t)$ can be written as

$$P_k^n(t)f = (\varepsilon^3\partial_c u_{c_j^n}, \partial_x u_{c_j^n})_{j=1,\cdots,k\to}(\mathcal{A}_k^n)^{-1}\begin{pmatrix}\varepsilon^{-4}\langle f, J^{-1}\partial_x u_{c_i^n}\rangle\\ \varepsilon^{-1}\langle f, J^{-1}\partial_c u_{c_i^n}\rangle\end{pmatrix}_{i=1,\cdots,k\downarrow}. \tag{2.17}$$

By (2.8), (2.12) and (2.17),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\begin{pmatrix}\varepsilon^{-4}\sum_{k=1}^N\langle l_k^n-P_k^nJR_k^n, J^{-1}\partial_xu_{c_i^n}\rangle\\ \varepsilon^{-1}\sum_{k=1}^N\langle l_k^n-P_k^nJR_k^n, J^{-1}\partial_cu_{c_i^n}\rangle\end{pmatrix}_{i=1,\cdots,N\downarrow}\\
=&\sum_{k=1}^N\left\{\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_k^n\begin{pmatrix}\varepsilon^{-3}\alpha_{ik}^n\\ \beta_{ik}^n\end{pmatrix}_{i=1,\cdots,k\downarrow}+\delta\widetilde{R}_{1k}^n\right\}\\
=&\sum_{k=1}^N\left\{\delta\widetilde{R}_{1k}^n+\delta\widetilde{R}_{2k}^n-\delta\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_k^n\begin{pmatrix}\varepsilon^{-3}\dot{c}_i^n\\ c_i^n-\dot{x}_i^n\end{pmatrix}_{i=1,\cdots,N\downarrow}\right\}\\
=&-\begin{pmatrix}\varepsilon^{-4}\langle\tilde{l}_N^n, J^{-1}\partial_xu_{c_i^n}\rangle\\ \varepsilon^{-1}\langle\tilde{l}_N^n, J^{-1}\partial_cu_{c_i^n}\rangle\end{pmatrix}_{i=1,\cdots,N\downarrow}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have (2.16) since the left hand side of (2.16) belongs to Range($P_N^n(t)$). This completes the proof of Lemma 2.1. □

Lemma 2.2. *Let $c_{i,+}$ be as in Theorem 1.1 and let $v_k^n(t)$, $c_k^n(t)$ and $x_k^n(t)$ $(1\le k\le N)$ be as in Lemma 2.1. Then there exist positive numbers ε_0, δ, L_0, C and $n_0\in\mathbb{N}$ satisfying the following: Suppose $\varepsilon\in(0,\varepsilon_0)$ and that for a $T>0$,*

$$\sup_{n\ge n_0}\sup_{t\in[T,n]}\sum_{k=1}^N\left(|c_k^n(t)-c_{k,+}|+\|v_k^n(t)\|_{X_k^n(t)^*}+\|v_k^n(t)\|_{l^2}\right)\le\delta\varepsilon^2,$$

$$L:=\inf_{n\ge n_0}\inf_{t\in[T,n]}\min_{2\le k\le N}\varepsilon(x_k^n(t)-x_{k-1}^n(t))\ge L_0.$$

Then for every $n\ge n_0$, $T\le t\le n$ and $1\le k\le N$,

$$\varepsilon^{-3}|\dot{c}_k^n|+|\dot{x}_k^n-c_k^n|\le C\varepsilon^{\frac12}\sum_{i=1}^N\|v_i^n\|_{W^n(t)}+\varepsilon^2e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)},\tag{2.18}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{j=1}^k e^{k_1\varepsilon(x_k^n(t)-x_j^n(t))}(\varepsilon^{-3}|\alpha_{jk}^n(t)|+|\beta_{jk}^n(t)|)\\ \le& C\varepsilon^{-1}\|v_k^n\|_{X_k^n(t)^*}\left(\sum_{i=1}^N\|v_i^n\|_{W^n(t)}+\varepsilon^{\frac32}e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\right).\end{aligned}\tag{2.19}$$

Proof. Lemma 2.2 can be proved in the same way as [17, Lemma 2.5]. Since $J^{-1}\partial_cu_{c_i^n}$ decays exponentially as $n\to\infty$, it follows from Claim A.5 that

$$\mathcal{A}_{ij}^n=\begin{cases}B_1(c_i^n) & \text{if } i=j,\\ O(e^{-k_1\varepsilon(x_j^n-x_i^n)}) & \text{if } i<j,\\ B_2(c_i^n,c_j^n)+O(e^{-k_1\varepsilon(x_i^n-x_j^n)}) & \text{if } i>j,\end{cases}\tag{2.20}$$

where $\theta_1(c) := dH(u_c)/dc$, $\theta_2(c) := \langle \partial_c p_c, 1\rangle\langle \partial_c r_c, 1\rangle$,

$$\theta_3(c_i,c_j) := \langle \partial_c p_{c_i}, 1\rangle\langle \partial_c r_{c_j}, 1\rangle + \langle \partial_c p_{c_j}, 1\rangle\langle \partial_c r_{c_i}, 1\rangle,$$

$$\sigma_3 := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_1(c) := -(c\varepsilon)^{-1}\theta_1(c)\sigma_3 - \varepsilon^2\theta_2(c)\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$B_2(c_i,c_j) := -\varepsilon^2\theta_3(c_i,c_j)\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We remark that the dominant part of $\mathcal{A}_k$ is a lower triangular matrix.

The other part of the proof are exactly the same as that of [17, Lemma 2.5]. □

Now we will estimate energy norm of v_k^n $(1 \le k \le N)$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $c_{i,+}$ be as in Theorem 1.1 and let $v_k^n(t)$, $c_k^n(t)$, $x_k^n(t)$ $(1 \le k \le N)$ and T be as in Lemma 2.2. Then there exist $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and a positive constant C such that for every $n \ge n_0$ and $t \in [T,n]$,*

$$\|v^n(t)\|_{l^2}^2 \le C\left(\sum_{i=1}^N (\varepsilon|c_i^n(t) - c_{i,+}| + \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}\|v_i^n\|_{W^n(t)}) + \varepsilon^3 e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\right), \tag{2.21}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|v_k^n(t)\|_{l^2}^2 \le & C\sum_{i=1}^k \left(\varepsilon|c_i^n(t) - c_{i,+}| + \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}\|v_i^n\|_{W_k^n(t)}\right) \\ & + C\varepsilon^3\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \|v_i^n\|_{L^2(t,n;W^n(s)\cap X_i^n(s)^*)}^2 + e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\right),\end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

where $d(t) = \sigma\varepsilon^2(t-T) + \varepsilon^{-1}L$ and $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{-2}\min_{2\le i\le N}(c_{i,+} - c_{i-1,+})$.

Proof. First we remark that

$$\begin{aligned} x_{i+1}^n(t) - x_i^n(t) = & x_{i+1}^n(T) - x_i^n(T) + \int_T^t (\dot{x}_{i+1}^n(s) - \dot{x}_i^n(s))ds \\ \ge & \varepsilon^{-1}L + \left(c_{i+1,+} - c_{i,+} - O(\delta\varepsilon^2)\right)(t-T) \\ \ge & d(t). \end{aligned}$$

By (P2), there exists a positive constant C' independent of ε such that

$$\begin{aligned} dH := & H(u^n(t)) - \sum_{i=1}^N H(u_{c_{i,+}}) \\ = & H(U_N^n(t) + v^n(t)) - \sum_{i=1}^N H(u_{c_{i,+}}) \\ = & I_1 + I_2 + \frac{1}{2}\langle H''(U_N^n)v^n, v^n\rangle + O(\|v^n\|_{l^2}^3) \\ \ge & C'\|v^n(t)\|_{l^2}^2 + I_1 + I_2, \end{aligned}$$

where $I_1 = \langle H'(U_N^n(t)), v^n(t)\rangle$ and $I_2 = H(U_N^n(t)) - \sum_{i=1}^N H(u_{c_{i,+}})$. It follows from (2.9), the fact that $|H'(U_N^n(t))| \lesssim \sum_{i=1}^N |u_{c_i^n(t)}(\cdot - x_i^n(t))|$ and Claims A.1–A.3 that

$$|I_1| \le \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \|v_k^n\|_{W^n(t)} + O\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{7}{2}} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \|v_k^n\|_{W^n(t)}\right),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_2| \le& \sum_{i=1}^N |H(u_{c_i^n(t)}) - H(u_{c_{i,+}})| + \left| H(U_N^n(t)) - \sum_{i=1}^N H(u_{c_i^n(t)}(\cdot - x_i^n(t))\right| \\
\lesssim& \sum_{i=1}^N \theta_1(c_{i,+})|c_i^n(t) - c_{i,+}| + \sum_{j\ne i} \left\| u_{c_i^n(t)}(\cdot - x_i^n(t)) u_{c_j^n(t)}(\cdot - x_j^n(t))\right\|_{l^1} \\
\lesssim& \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^N |c_i^n(t) - c_{i,+}| + \varepsilon^3 e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since dH is independent of t,

$$|dH| = \left| H(U_N^n(n)) - \sum_{1\le i\le N} H(u_{c_{i,+}})\right| \lesssim \varepsilon^3 e^{-k_1\varepsilon h(n)}.$$

Thus we prove (2.21).

To prove (2.22), it suffices to show

$$\begin{aligned}
\|w_k^n(t)\|_{l^2}^2 \lesssim& \varepsilon \sum_{i=1}^k |c_i^n(t) - c_{i,+}| + \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^k \|v_i^n\|_{W_k^n(t)} \\
&+ \varepsilon^3 \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \|v_i^n\|^2_{L^2(t,n;W^n(s)\cap X_i^n(s)^*)} + e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

Since J is skew-adjoint, it follows from (2.15) that

$$\frac{d}{dt} H(U_k^n + w_k^n) = \left\langle H'(U_k^n + w_k^n), \tilde{l}_k^n + \sum_{i=1}^k (l_i^n - P_i^n J R_i^n)\right\rangle = \sum_{i=1}^6 II_i, \tag{2.24}$$

where $U_{k,int}^n = H'(U_k^n) - \sum_{i=1}^k H'(u_{c_i^n}) = \sum_{\substack{1\le i,j\le k \\ i\ne j}} O\left(|u_{c_i^n}(\cdot - x_i^n)||u_{c_j^n}(\cdot - x_j^n)|\right)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
II_1 =& \sum_{i=1}^k \langle H'(U_k^n + w_k^n), l_i^n\rangle, \quad II_2 = -\sum_{i,\,j=1}^k \langle H'(u_{c_j^n}), P_i^n J R_i^n\rangle, \\
II_3 =& -\sum_{i=1}^k \langle U_{k,int}^n, P_i^n J R_i^n\rangle, \quad II_4 = -\sum_{i=1}^k \langle H'(U_k^n + w_k^n) - H'(U_k^n), P_i^n J R_i^n\rangle, \\
II_5 =& \sum_{j=1}^k \langle H'(u_{c_j^n}), \tilde{l}_k^n\rangle, \quad II_6 = \langle H(U_k^n + w_k^n) - \sum_{j=1}^k H(u_{c_j^n}), \tilde{l}_k^n\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.2 and the fact that $\|H'(U_k^n + w_k^n)\|_{l^2} = O(\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}})$,

$$|II_1| \lesssim \varepsilon^3 \sum_{k=1}^{N} \|v_k^n\|^2_{X_k^n(t)^* \cap W^n(t)} + \varepsilon^6 e^{-k_1 \varepsilon d(t)}. \tag{2.25}$$

Next, we will estimate II_2. Let

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{k1}^n &= H'(U_k^n + w_k^n) - H'(U_k^n + w_{k-1}^n) - H''(U_k^n) v_k^n, \\
R_{k2}^n &= H'(U_k^n) - H'(U_{k-1}^n) - H'(u_{c_k^n}), \\
R_{k3}^n &= H'(U_k^n + w_{k-1}^n) - H'(U_{k-1}^n + w_{k-1}^n) - H'(U_k^n) + H'(U_{k-1}^n).
\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& R_k^n = R_{k1} + R_{k2}^n + R_{k3}^n, \\
& |R_{k1}^n| \lesssim (|w_{k-1}^n| + |v_k^n|)|v_k^n|, \quad |R_{k2}^n| \lesssim |u_{c_k^n}||U_{k-1}^n|, \\
& |R_{k3}| \lesssim |u_{c_k^n}||w_{k-1}^n|.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

As in [17, Lemma 3.1], we have $(P_i^n J)^* H'(u_{c_j^n}) = c_j^n \partial_x u_{c_j^n}$ for $j \le i$ and $(P_i^n J)^* H'(u_{c_j^n}) = O(\varepsilon^3 e^{-k_1 \varepsilon |x_j - x_i|})$ for $j > i$. Hence it follows from (2.26) that

$$II_2 = -\sum_{i=1}^{k} c_i^n \langle R_{i3}^n, \partial_x u_{c_i^n} \rangle + O\left(\varepsilon^3 \sum_{i=1}^{k} \|v_i^n\|^2_{W^n(t)} + \varepsilon^6 e^{-k_1 \varepsilon d(t)} \right). \tag{2.27}$$

Secondly, we will estimate II_3 and II_4. Since

$$\|P_k^n J\|_{B(l^1)} + \|P_k^n J\|_{B(W_k^n(t), W_k^n(t)^*)} + \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|P_k^n J\|_{B(\widetilde{W}_k(t), W_k^n(t)^*)} = O(\varepsilon), \tag{2.28}$$

it follows from Claim A.2, (2.26) and the assumption of Lemma 2.3 that

$$|II_3| \le \|U_{k,int}^n\|_{l^2} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \|P_i^n J R_i^n\|_{l^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^8 e^{-k_1 \varepsilon d(t)}, \tag{2.29}$$

$$|II_4| \lesssim \|w_k^n\|_{W^n(t)} \left(\varepsilon^3 \sum_{i=1}^{k} \|v_i^n\|_{W^n(t)} + \varepsilon^{\frac{9}{2}} e^{-k_1 \varepsilon d(t)} \right). \tag{2.30}$$

By (2.18),

$$\begin{aligned}
II_5 &= \sum_{i=1}^{k} \left\{ \theta_1(c_i^n) \dot{c}_i^n + O(e^{-k_1 \varepsilon d(t)} (\varepsilon |\dot{c}_i^n| + \varepsilon^4 |\dot{x}_i^n - c_i^n|)) \right\} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{k} \theta_1(c_i^n) \dot{c}_i^n + O\left(\varepsilon^6 e^{-k_1 \varepsilon d(t)} \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.31}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|II_6| \lesssim{}& (\|U^n_{k,int}\|_{W^n(t)} + \|w^n_k\|_{W^n(t)})\|\tilde{l}^n_k\|_{W^n(t)^*} \\
\lesssim{}& (\varepsilon^{\frac{7}{2}} e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)} + \|w^n_k\|_{W^n(t)}) \sum_{i=1}^{k} (\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}|\dot{c}^n_i| + \varepsilon^{\frac{5}{2}}|\dot{x}^n_i - c^n_i|) \\
\lesssim{}& \varepsilon^3 \sum_{k=1}^{N} \|v^n_i\|^2_{W^n(t)} + \varepsilon^6 e^{-k_1(\sigma\varepsilon^3 t + L)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.32}$$

By (2.20),

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}^n_k(\mathcal{A}^n_k)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} E_{k,k} \\ D_k \end{pmatrix} + O(e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}),$$

where $E_{k,k}$ is the $2k \times 2k$ identity matrix and D_k is a $2(N-k) \times 2k$ matrix whose $(2j-1)$-th row $(1 \le j \le N)$ is a 0 vector. Thus by (2.8), (2.26) and the fact that $\delta\widetilde{R}^n_{2k} = O(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\|v^n_k\|_{W^n_k(t)})$,

$$\theta_1(c^n_i)\dot{c}^n_i = c^n_i\langle R^n_{i3}, \partial_x u_{c^n_i}\rangle + O\left(\varepsilon^3 \sum_{k=1}^{N} \|v^n_k\|^2_{X^n_k(t)^*\cap W^n(t)} + \varepsilon^6 e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\right). \tag{2.33}$$

Combining (2.24)–(2.33), we have

$$\left|\frac{d}{dt}H(U^n_k + w^n_k)\right| \lesssim \varepsilon^3 \sum_{i=1}^{N} \|v^n_i\|^2_{X^n_i(t)^*\cap W^n(t)} + \varepsilon^6 e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}. \tag{2.34}$$

Integrating (2.34) over $[t,n]$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&|H(U^n_k(t) + w^n_k(t)) - H(U_k(n))| \\
\lesssim{}& \varepsilon^3 \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} \int_t^n \|v^n_i(s)\|^2_{X^n_i(s)^*\cap W^n(s)} ds + e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have (2.23) in the same way as (2.21). This completes the proof of Lemma 2.3. □

Next, we will prove virial identities of w^n_k.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $c_{i,+}$ be as in Theorem 1.1 and let $v^n_k(t)$, $c^n_k(t)$, $x^n_k(t)$ $(1 \le k \le N)$ and T be as in Lemma 2.2. Let $a = \delta\varepsilon$ and $\psi_{a,i}(t,x) = 1 - \tanh a(x - x^n_i(t))$. Then there exists a positive number C such that for every $T \le t_1 \le n$ and $1 \le k \le N$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle \psi_{a,N} w^n_k(t_1), w^n_k(t_1)\rangle_{l^2} + \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}\|w^n_k(t)\|_{L^2(t_1,n;W^n(t))} \\
\le{}& C\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} \left(\|v^n_k\|_{L^2(t_1,n;X^n_k(t)^*)} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \|w^n_i\|_{L^2(0,T;W^n_k(t))} + e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)} \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.35}$$

Proof. Let $u^n = {}^t(r^n, p^n)$, $h(u^n) = \frac{1}{2}(p^n)^2 + V(r^n)$ and $h'(u^n) = {}^t(V'(r^n), p^n)$,

$$H_{k,i} = \langle h(U^n_k + w^n_k) - h(U^n_k) - h'(U^n_k)\cdot w^n_k, \psi_{a,i}\rangle_{l^2},$$

where $\cdot$ denotes the inner product in $\mathbb{R}^2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dH_{k,i}}{dt} =& -\dot{x}_i^n \langle h(U_k^n + w_k^n) - h(U_k^n) - h'(U_k^n)\cdot w_k^n, \psi'_{a,i}\rangle_{l^2}\\ &+ \langle H'(U_k^n + w_k^n) - H'(U_k^n), \psi_{a,i}\partial_t(U_k^n + w_k^n)\rangle - \langle H''(U_k^n)\partial_t U_k^n, \psi_{a,i} w_k^n\rangle\\ =:& \, I + II.\end{aligned}$$

By the mean value theorem, there exists a $\theta = \theta(t,n) \in (0,1)$ such that

$$I = -\frac{\dot{x}_i^n}{2}\langle H''(U_k^n + \theta w_k^n) w_k^n, \psi'_{a,i} w_k^n\rangle.$$

Since $\|U_k^n (w_k^n)^2\|_{l^1} \lesssim \varepsilon^2(\|v_k^n\|_{X_k^n(t)^*} + \|w_{k-1}\|_{W_k^n(t)})^2$, we have

$$I = \frac{\dot{x}_i^n}{2}(1 + O(\|w_k^n\|_{l^\infty}))\|\tilde{\psi}_{a,i} w_k^n\|_{l^2}^2 + O(\varepsilon^3(\|v_k^n\|_{X_k^n(t)^*} + \|w_{k-1}\|_{W_k^n(t)})^2),$$

where $\tilde{\psi}_{a,i} = a^{\frac{1}{2}} \operatorname{sech} a(x - x_i^n(t))$. By (2.15) and the definition of $U_k^n(t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} II =& \left\langle H'(U_k^n + w_k^n) - H'(U_k^n), \psi_{a,i} J H'(U_k^n + w_k^n) + \sum_{i=1}^k \psi_{a,i}(l_i^n - P_i^n J R_i^n)\right\rangle\\ &+ \langle \mathcal{N}_k^n, \psi_{a,i}\tilde{l}_k^n\rangle - \sum_{i=1}^k \langle \psi_{a,i} H''(U_k^n) w_k^n, J H'(u_{c_i^n})\rangle\\ =& \sum_{i=1}^6 II_i,\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{N}_k^n = H'(U_k^n + w_k^n) - H'(U_k^n) - H''(U_k^n) w_k^n = O((w_k^n)^2)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} II_1 &= \langle H'(U_k^n + w_k^n) - H'(U_k^n), \psi_{a,i} J(H'(U_k^n + w_k^n) - H'(U_k^n))\rangle,\\ II_2 &= \langle \mathcal{N}_k^n, \psi_{a,i} J H'(U_k^n)\rangle, \quad II_3 = \langle \mathcal{N}_k^n, \psi_{a,i}\tilde{l}_k^n\rangle,\\ II_4 &= \sum_{i=1}^k \langle H'(U_k^n + w_k^n) - H'(U_k^n), \psi_{a,i} l_i^n\rangle,\\ II_5 &= -\sum_{i=1}^k \langle H'(U_k^n + w_k^n) - H'(U_k^n), \psi_{a,i} P_i^n J R_i^n\rangle,\\ II_6 &= \left\langle H''(U_k^n) w_k^n, \psi_{a,i} J U_{k,int}^n\right\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Following the proof of [17, Lemma 3.3], we see that

$$\begin{aligned} |II_1| \le& \frac{1}{2}\|\tilde{\psi}_{a,i}(H'(U_k^n + w_k^n) - H'(U_k^n))\|_{l^2}^2(1 + O(a^2))\\ \le& \|\tilde{\psi}_{a,i} w_k^n\|_{l^2}^2(1 + O(\|w_k^n\|_{l^\infty}) + O(\varepsilon^3(\|v_k^n\|_{X_k^n(t)^*}^2 + \|w_{k-1}\|_{W_k^n(t)}^2)),\end{aligned}$$

whence for a $\delta' > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} I + II_1 \geq & \frac{\dot{x}_i^n - 1 + O(\delta\varepsilon^2)}{2} \|\tilde{\psi}_{a,i} w_k^n\|_{l^2}^2 + O(\varepsilon^3(\|v_k^n\|_{X_k^n(t)^*} + \|w_{k-1}\|_{W_k^n(t)})^2) \\ \geq & \delta'\varepsilon^2 \|\tilde{\psi}_{a,i} w_k^n\|_{l^2}^2 + O(\varepsilon^3(\|v_k^n\|_{X_k^n(t)^*} + \|w_{k-1}\|_{W_k^n(t)})^2). \end{aligned}$$

By Claims A.1–A.3 in Appendix A and Lemma 2.2,

$$|II_2| + |II_3| + |II_4| \lesssim \varepsilon^3 \left(\|v_k^n\|_{X_k^n(t)^*} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \|v_i^n\|_{W_k^n(t)} \right)^2 .$$

In view of the formula (2.19) and Claim A.1, we have

$$\sup_n \sup_{t\in[T,n]} \|P_k^n J\|_{B(l^2, W_k^n(t)^*)} = O(\varepsilon), \quad \sup_n \sup_{t\in[T,n]} \|P_k^n J\|_{B(\widetilde{W}_k(t), W_k^n(t)^*)} = O(\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}),$$

and

$$|II_5| + |II_6| \lesssim \varepsilon^3 \left(\|v_k^n\|_{X_k^n(t)^*} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \|v_i^n\|_{W_k^n(t)} \right)^2 + \varepsilon^6 e^{-2k_1\varepsilon d(t)}.$$

Combining the above, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \delta'\varepsilon^2 \|\tilde{\psi}_{a,i} w_k^n\|_{l^2}^2 - \frac{dH_{k,i}}{dt} \\ \lesssim & \varepsilon^3 \left(\|v_k^n\|_{X_k^n(t)^*} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \|w_i^n\|_{W_k^n(t)} \right)^2 + \varepsilon^6 e^{-2k_1\varepsilon d(t)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.36}$$

Integrating (2.36) over $[t_1, n]$ and summing up the resulting equations for $1 \leq i \leq N$, we have (2.35) since $H_{k,i} = \|\psi_{a,i}^{\frac{1}{2}} w_k^n\|_{l^2}^2 (1 + O(\|U_k^n\|_{l^\infty} + \|w_k^n\|_{l^\infty}))$. Thus we prove Lemma 2.4. $\square$

We use the following exponential stability property of the linearized FPU equation.

Lemma 2.5. *Let* $\zeta = {}^t(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $\mathcal{F}_n\zeta \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$, F_1, $F_2 \in C(\mathbb{R}; l^2_{-k_1\varepsilon})$ *and let* $w(t) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}; l^2_{k_1\varepsilon})$ *be a solution of*

$$\partial_t w(t) = JH''(\widetilde{U}_k(t) + \zeta(t))w(t) + F_1(t) + JF_2(t). \tag{2.37}$$

There exist positive numbers ε_0, L_0, δ_1, δ_2, M *and* b *satisfying the following: Suppose* $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$, $0 \leq T_1 \leq T_2 \leq \infty$ *and that*

$$\inf_{t\in[T_1,T_2]} \min_{2\leq j\leq N} \varepsilon(x_{j,+}(t) - x_{j-1,+}(t)) \geq L_0,$$

$$\sup_{t\in[T_1,T_2]} \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} (|\zeta_1(t,x)| + \varepsilon^{-1}|\partial_x\zeta_1(t,x)|) \leq \delta_1\varepsilon^2,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}} |\langle w(t), J^{-1}\partial_x u_{c_{i,+}}(\cdot - x_{i,+}(t))\rangle| + \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} |\langle w(t), J^{-1}\partial_c u_{c_{i,+}}(\cdot - x_{i,+}(t))\rangle| \\ \leq & \delta_2 \|w(t)\|_{X_k^n(t)^*} \end{aligned} \tag{2.38}$$

for $1 \le i \le k$ *and* $t \in [T_1, T_2]$. *Then for every* $t, t_1 \in [T_1, T_2]$ *satisfying* $t \le t_1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|w(t)\|_{X^n_k(t)^*} \le & M e^{b\varepsilon^3(t-t_1)} \|w(t_1)\|_{X^n_k(t_1)} \\ & + M \int_t^{t_1} e^{b\varepsilon^3(t-s)} (\|F_1(s)\|_{X^n_k(s)^*} + \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}(s-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|F_2(s)\|_{X^n_k(s)^*}) ds.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $0 < k_1 < \cdots < k_N$, $\gamma_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $\theta_i = k_i(x - 4k_i^2 t - \gamma_i)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, N$ and let $\boldsymbol{k} = (k_1, \cdots, k_N)$, $\boldsymbol{\gamma} = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_N) \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and

$$C_N = \left[\frac{1}{k_i + k_j} e^{-(\theta_i + \theta_j)} \right]_{\substack{i=1,\ldots,N \downarrow \\ j=1,\cdots,N \rightarrow}}.$$

Then $\varphi_N(t, x; \boldsymbol{k}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) := \partial_x^2 \log \det(I + C_N)$ is an N-soliton solution of KdV

$$\partial_t u + \partial_x(\partial_x^2 u + 6u^2) = 0. \tag{2.39}$$

Let $0 < a < 2k_1$ and

$$\begin{gathered}\mathcal{P}(t, \boldsymbol{k}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) : L^2_{-a} \to \operatorname{span}\{\partial_{\gamma_i} \varphi_N(t, y; \boldsymbol{k}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}),\ \partial_{k_i} \varphi_N(t, y; \boldsymbol{k}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) \ :\ 1 \le i \le N\}, \\ \mathcal{Q}(t, \boldsymbol{k}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) = I - \mathcal{P}(t, \boldsymbol{k}, \boldsymbol{\gamma})\end{gathered}$$

be projections associated with

$$\partial_t v + \partial_x(\partial_x^2 v + 12\varphi_N(\boldsymbol{k}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) v) = 0 \tag{2.40}$$

such that for $v \in \mathcal{Q}(t, \boldsymbol{k}, \boldsymbol{\gamma})$ and $i = 1, \cdots, N$,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}} v(x) \int_x^\infty \partial_{\gamma_i} \varphi_N(t, y; \boldsymbol{k}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) dy dx = 0, \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}} v(x) \int_x^\infty \partial_{k_i} \varphi_N(t, y; \boldsymbol{k}, \boldsymbol{\gamma}) dy dx = 0.\end{aligned}$$

It follows from [17, Section 6] that for every $t \le s$ and c, $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\|e^{-a(\cdot - ct - x_0)} \mathcal{Q}(t) v(t)\|_{L^2} \lesssim e^{a(c-a^2)(t-s)} \|e^{-a(\cdot - cs - x_0)} \mathcal{Q}(s) v(s)\|_{L^2} \tag{2.41}$$

if $v(t)$ is a solution of (2.40). Applying (2.41) to the low frequency part of a solution to (2.37) and applying a semigroup estimate

$$\|e^{-a(n-ct)} e^{tJ} f\|_{l^2} \lesssim e^{(ca - 2\sinh(a/2))t} \|e^{-an} f\|_{l^2} \quad \text{for } t \le 0,$$

to the high frequency part of the solution, we obtain Lemma 2.5 in exactly the same way as the proof of [17, Lemma 5.1]. □

Now we will estimate remainder parts of solutions u_n in exponentially weighted space.

Lemma 2.6. *Let* $c_{i,+}$ *be as in Theorem 1.1 and let* $v^n_k(t)$, $c^n_k(t)$, $x^n_k(t)$ $(1 \le k \le N)$ *and* T *be as in Lemma 2.2. Then there exists positive constants* A_i $(1 \le i \le N)$ *such that*

$$\|v^n_i(t)\|_{X^n_k(t)^*} \le A_i \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-k_1 \varepsilon d(t)} \quad \textit{for every } t \in [T, n] \textit{ and } 1 \le i \le N. \tag{2.42}$$

Proof. To begin with, we will estimate difference between $x_i^n(t)$ and $x_{i,+}(t)$ assuming (2.42). Let $T_1(n) := \inf\{\tau \ge T : \text{(2.42) holds for } \tau \le t \le n\}$. Lemma 2.4 and (2.18) imply that for $t \in [T_1(n), n]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon^{-3}|\dot{c}_i^n(t)| + |\dot{x}_i^n(t) - c_i^n(t)| \lesssim & \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}(\|v^n(t)\|_{W^n(t)} + \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}) \\
\lesssim & \varepsilon^2\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \|v_i^n\|_{L^2(t,n;X_k^n(t)^*)} + e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)} + e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(n)}\right) \\
\lesssim & \varepsilon^2 e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)},
\end{aligned}$$

whence for $t \in [T_1(n), n]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|x_i^n(t) - x_{i,+}(t)| \le & \int_t^n \left(|\dot{x}_i^n(s) - c_i^n(s)| + \int_s^n |\dot{c}_i^n(\tau)| d\tau\right) ds \\
\lesssim & \varepsilon^2 \int_t^n e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(s)} ds \lesssim \varepsilon^{-1}e^{-k_1 L},
\end{aligned} \tag{2.43}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|c_i^n(t) - c_{i,+}(t)| \le & \int_t^n |\dot{c}_i^n(s)| ds \\
\lesssim & \varepsilon^2 e^{-k_1 L}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.44}$$

Now we will estimate $\|v_k^n(t)\|_{X_k^n(t)^*}$ by induction. Suppose that (2.42) holds for $i < k$ and $t \in [T_1(n), n]$. Let $\zeta(t) = \sum_{i=1}^k \{u^n_{c_i^n(t)}(\cdot - x_i^n(t)) - u^n_{c_{i,+}}(\cdot - x_{i,+}(t))\}$. By Claim A.1, (2.43) and (2.44), we have $|\partial_x^j \zeta(t,x)| \lesssim e^{-k_1 L}\varepsilon^{j+2}$ for $j = 0, 1$. Applying Lemma 2.5 to (2.3), we see that for $t \in [T_1(n), n]$ and $1 \le k \le N$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|v_k^n(t)\|_{X_k^n(t)^*} \lesssim & \int_t^n e^{b\varepsilon^3(t-s)}\left(\|l_k^n(s)\|_{X_k^n(s)^*} + \|[Q^n(s), J]R_k^n\|_{X_k^n(s)^*}\right) ds \\
& + \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{t_j}^t e^{b\varepsilon^3(t-s)}(s-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|Q^n(s)R_k^n\|_{X_k^n(s)^*} ds.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.45}$$

By the definition of l_k^n and (2.19),

$$\begin{aligned}
\|l_k^n\|_{X_k^n(t)^*} \lesssim & \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}\|v_k^n\|_{X_k^n(t)^*}\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \|v_i^n\|_{W^n(t)} + \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\right) \\
\lesssim & (\delta + e^{-k_1 L})\varepsilon^3 \|v_k^n\|_{X_k^n(t)^*}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.46}$$

By Lemma 2.4 and the induction hypothesis,

$$\|w_{k-1}^n\|_{W^n(t)} \lesssim \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \|v_i^n\|_{L^2(t,n;X_i^n(t)^*)} + e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\right) \lesssim \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}.$$

Hence it follows from (2.26) that

$$\begin{aligned}\|R_k^n\|_{X_k^n(t)^*} \lesssim & \|R_{k1}^n\|_{X_k^n(t)^*} + \|R_{k2}^n\|_{X_k^n(t)^*} + \|R_{k3}^n\|_{X_k^n(t)^*} \\ \lesssim & \|v_k^n\|_{X_k^n(t)^*}(\|v_k^n\|_{l^2} + \|w_{k-1}^n\|_{l^2}) + \varepsilon^{\frac{7}{2}} e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)} + \varepsilon^2 \|w_{k-1}^n\|_{W^n(t)} \\ \lesssim & \varepsilon^2\delta \|v_k^n\|_{X_k^n(t)^*} + \varepsilon^{\frac{7}{2}} e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}.\end{aligned}$$

Substituting the above inequalities and $\|[Q^n(s), J]\|_{B(X_k^n(s)^*)} = O(\varepsilon)$ into (2.45), we have

$$\begin{aligned}& \|v_k^n(t)\|_{X_k^n(t)^*} \\ \lesssim & \varepsilon^{\frac{9}{2}} \int_t^n e^{b\varepsilon^3(t-s)}(1+\varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}}(s-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}) e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(s)} ds \\ & + (\delta + e^{-k_1 L})\varepsilon^3 \int_t^n e^{b\varepsilon^3(t-s)}(1+\varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}}(s-t)^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \|v_k^n(s)\|_{X_k^n(s)^*} ds \\ \leq & C_1 \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)} + C_2(\delta + e^{-k_1 L}) \sup_{s\in[t,n]} \|v_k^n(s)\|_{X_k^n(s)^*},\end{aligned} \tag{2.47}$$

where C_1 and C_2 are positive constants independent of n and $t \in [T_1(n), n]$. Thus we have

$$\|v_k^n(t)\|_{X_k^n(t)^*} \leq 2C_1 \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)} \tag{2.48}$$

for $t \in [T_1(n), n]$ and $1 \leq k \leq N$ provided $C_2(\delta + e^{-k_1 L}) \leq 1/2$. Letting $A_k = 2C_1$, we have $T_1 = T$ from (2.48). Thus we complete the proof of Lemma 2.6. $\square$

Let $\gamma_i^n(t) := x_i^n(t) - c_{i,+}t$. A system of (2.7) and (2.8) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}& \begin{pmatrix} c_i^n(t) \\ \gamma_i^n(t) \end{pmatrix}_{1\leq i\leq N\downarrow} - \begin{pmatrix} c_{i,+} \\ \gamma_{i,+} \end{pmatrix}_{1\leq i\leq N\downarrow} \\ = & \mathcal{E}_1 \int_t^n \left(\mathcal{A}_N^n - \sum_{k=1}^N \delta\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_k^n\right)^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^N \left(\delta\widetilde{R}_{1k}^n + \delta\widetilde{R}_{2k}^n\right) ds \\ & - \mathcal{E}_2 \int_t^n \int_s^n \left(\mathcal{A}_N^n - \sum_{k=1}^N \delta\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_k^n\right)^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^N \left(\delta\widetilde{R}_{1k}^n + \delta\widetilde{R}_{2k}^n\right) d\tau ds,\end{aligned} \tag{2.49}$$

where $\mathcal{E}_1$ and $\mathcal{E}_2$ are $2N \times 2N$ matrices such that

$$\mathcal{E}_1 = \mathrm{diag}(\mathbf{p_1}, \dots, \mathbf{p_1}),\ \ \mathcal{E}_2 = \varepsilon^3 \,\mathrm{diag}(\mathbf{p_2}, \dots, \mathbf{p_2}),\ \ \mathbf{p_1} = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon^3 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix},\ \ \mathbf{p_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Combining Lemmas 2.2, 2.3, 2.4 and 2.6, we obtain the following.

Proposition 2.7. *Let $c_{i,+}$ be as in Theorem 1.1. Then there exist positive constants ε_0, δ, T and A such that for every $k = 1, \cdots, N$, $t \in [T, n]$ and $n > T$,*

$$\begin{aligned}\|v_k^n\|_{X_k^n(t)^*} + \|v_k^n\|_{W^n(t)} + \|v_k^n\|_{l^2} \leq A\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}, \\ |c_k^n(t) - c_{k,+}| + \varepsilon^3 |\gamma_k^n(t) - \gamma_{k,+}| \leq A\varepsilon^2 e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Suppose $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ is sufficiently large. Then for $n \ge n_0$, there exists a $T_n < n$ such that

$$\sup_{t\in[T_n,n]}\left\{|c_i^n(t)-c_{i,+}|+\sum_{k=1}^N(\|v_k^n(t)\|_{X_k^n(t)^*}+\|v_k^n(t)\|_{l^2})\right\}\le \delta\varepsilon^2,$$

$$\inf_{t\in[T_n,n]}\min_{2\le i\le N}\varepsilon(x_i^n(t)-x_{i-1}^n(t))\ge L_0.$$

Lemmas 2.2, 2.3, 2.4 and 2.6 imply that for $t\in[T_n,n]$ and $n\ge n_0$,

$$\sum_{k=1}^N(\|v_k^n\|_{X_k^n(t)^*}+\|v_k^n\|_{W^n(t)}+\|v_k^n\|_{l^2})\le C\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}, \tag{2.50}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^N(|\dot c_k^n(t)|+\varepsilon^3|\dot\gamma_k^n(t)|)\le C\varepsilon^2e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}, \tag{2.51}$$

where C is a positive constant independent of $n\ge n_0$. By (2.51), there exists a positive constant C' such that

$$\sup_{t\in[T_n,n]}(|c_k^n(t)-c_{k,+}|+\varepsilon^3|\gamma_k^n(t)-\gamma_{k,+}|)\le C'\varepsilon^2e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(T_n)}\quad\text{for every } n\ge n_0. \tag{2.52}$$

In view of (2.50) and (2.52), we see that T_n can be chosen independently of $n\ge n_0$. This completes the proof of Proposition 2.7. □

3. Existence of N-soliton like solutions

In this section, we will show that as $n\to\infty$, a solution $u^n(t)$ of (2.1) converges to an N-soliton state, that is, a solution of (1.2) that tends to a sum of N-solitary waves as $t\to\infty$.

To begin with, we introduce several notations. Let

$$\|u\|_{Y_n(t)}:=\sup_{s\in[t,n]}e^{\frac{k_1\varepsilon d(s)}{2}}\|u(s)\|_{l^2},\quad \|u\|_{Z_{k,n}(t)}:=\sup_{s\in[t,n]}e^{\frac{k_1\varepsilon d(s)}{2}}\|u(s)\|_{X_k^n(s)^*},$$

$$\delta c_k^{m,n}(t)=c_k^m(t)-c_k^n(t),\quad \delta\gamma_k^{m,n}(t)=\gamma_k^m(t)-\gamma_k^n(t),$$

$$\delta v_k^{m,n}(t)=v_k^m(t)-v_k^n(t),\quad \delta w_k^{m,n}(t)=w_k^m(t)-w_k^n(t),$$

$$\delta u_k^{m,n}(t)=u_{c_k^m(t)}(\cdot-x_k^m(t))-u_{c_k^n(t)}(\cdot-x_k^n(t)),\quad \delta U_k^{m,n}(t)=U_k^m(t)-U_k^n(t).$$

First, we will prove that $\{c_k^n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and $\{\gamma_k^n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ are Cauchy sequences assuming that $\{v_k^n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in a weighted space.

Lemma 3.1. *There exist an $n_0\in\mathbb{N}$ and positive constants C and T such that for any $m\ge n\ge n_0$ and $t\in[T,n]$,*

$$\begin{aligned}&\sup_{s\in[t,n]}e^{\frac{1}{2}k_1\varepsilon d(s)}(|\delta c_k^{m,n}(s)|+\varepsilon^3|\delta\gamma_k^{m,n}(s)|)\\ \le& C\varepsilon^2e^{-\frac{1}{2}k_1\varepsilon d(n)}+C\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\|\delta v_i^{m,n}\|_{Y_n(t)}+e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\sum_{i=1}^N(\|\delta v_i^{m,n}\|_{Y_n(t)}+\|\delta v_i^{m,n}\|_{Z_{i,n}(t)})\right).\end{aligned}$$

Proof. By the definition, $|\delta R^m_{1k}-\delta R^n_{1k}|\lesssim I_k+II_k$, where

$$\begin{aligned} I_k =&\|R^n_k\|_{l^1}\sum_{i=1}^k(\varepsilon^{-4}\|\partial_x\delta u_i^{m,n}\|_{l^\infty}+\varepsilon^{-1}\|\partial_c u_{c_i^m}(\cdot-x_i^m)-\partial_c u_{c_i^n}(\cdot-x_i^n)\|_{l^\infty}),\\ II_k =&\sum_{j=1}^3\|R^m_{kj}-R^n_{kj}\|_{l^1}\sum_{i=1}^k\left(\varepsilon^{-4}\|\partial_x u_{c_i^n}(\cdot-x_i^n)\|_{l^\infty}+\varepsilon^{-1}\|\partial_c u_{c_i^n}(\cdot-x_i^n)\|_{l^\infty}\right). \end{aligned}$$

By Claim A.1, we have

$$|\partial_x^\alpha\partial_c^\beta u_{c_i^m}(\cdot-x_i^m)-\partial_x^\alpha\partial_c^\beta u_{c_i^n}(\cdot-x_i^n)|\lesssim\varepsilon^{\alpha-2\beta}(|\delta c_i^{m,n}|+\varepsilon^3|\delta\gamma_i^{m,n}|)e^{-\frac32 k_i|\cdot-x_i^n|}. \tag{3.1}$$

In view of Proposition 2.7, (2.26) and (3.1), we have

$$I_k\lesssim e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\sum_{i=1}^N\left(|\delta c_i^{m,n}(t)|+\varepsilon^3|\delta\gamma_i^{m,n}(t)|\right). \tag{3.2}$$

Next we will estimate II_k. By the mean value theorem, we have

$$R^n_{k1}=\int_0^1\int_0^1 H'''(U^n_k+\theta_2(w^n_{k-1}+\theta_1 v^n_k))v^n_k(w^n_{k-1}+\theta_1v^n_k)d\theta_1d\theta_2,$$

and it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |R^m_{k1}-R^n_{k1}|\lesssim&|v^n_k|(|\delta w^{m,n}_{k-1}|+|\delta v^{m,n}_k|)+|\delta v^{m,n}_k|(|w^m_{k-1}|+|v^m_k|)\\ &+|v^n_k|(|w^n_{k-1}|+|v^n_k|)|\delta U^{m,n}_k|. \end{aligned}\tag{3.3}$$

Similarly, we have

$$|R^m_{k2}-R^n_{k2}|\lesssim|\delta U^{m,n}_{k-1}||u^m_{c_k}|+|U^n_{k-1}||\delta u^{m,n}_k|, \tag{3.4}$$

$$|R^m_{k3}-R^n_{k3}|\lesssim|u_{c^m_k}||\delta w^{m,n}_{k-1}|+|w^n_{k-1}||\delta u^{m,n}_k|+|w^m_{k-1}||u^m_{c_k}||\delta U^{m,n}_{k-1}|. \tag{3.5}$$

Substituting (3.1) into the above and using Proposition 2.7, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\|R^m_{k1}-R^n_{k1}\|_{l^1}\\ \lesssim&\sum_{i=1}^k(\|v_i^m\|_{l^2}+\|v_i^n\|_{l^2})\sum_{i=1}^k\|\delta v_i^{m,n}\|_{l^2}+\sum_{i=1}^k\|v_i^n\|_{l^2}^2\sum_{i=1}^k(|\delta c_i^{m,n}|+\varepsilon^3|\delta\gamma_i^{m,n}|)\\ \lesssim&e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\sum_{i=1}^k\left\{\varepsilon^{\frac32}\|\delta v_i^{m,n}\|_{l^2}+\varepsilon^3(|\delta c_i^{m,n}|+\varepsilon^3|\delta\gamma_i^{m,n}|)\right\}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|R^m_{k2}-R^n_{k2}\|_{l^1}\lesssim&\varepsilon e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\sum_{i=1}^k(|\delta c_i^{m,n}|+\varepsilon^3|\delta\gamma_i^{m,n}|),\\ \|R^m_{k3}-R^n_{k3}\|_{l^1}\lesssim&\varepsilon^{\frac32}\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\|\delta v_i^{m,n}\|_{l^2}+\varepsilon e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\sum_{i=1}^k(|\delta c_i^{m,n}|+\varepsilon^3|\delta\gamma_i^{m,n}|). \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above, we obtain

$$II_k \lesssim \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \|\delta v_i^{m,n}\|_{l^2} + e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)} \sum_{i=1}^{k} (|\delta c_i^{m,n}| + \varepsilon^3 |\delta\gamma_i^{m,n}|). \tag{3.6}$$

It follows from Proposition 2.7 and (3.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} &|\delta R_{2k}^m - \delta R_{2k}^n| \\ \lesssim & \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)} \|\delta v_k^{m,n}\|_{l^2} + \|v_k^n\|_{l^2} \sum_{j=1}^{k} (\|u_{c_j^m}\|_{l^\infty} + \|u_{c_j^n}\|_{l^\infty}) \\ & \times \sum_{i=1}^{k} (\varepsilon^{-4} \|\partial_x \delta u_i^{m,n}\|_{l^2} + \varepsilon^{-1} \|\partial_c u_{c_i^m}(\cdot - x_i^m) - \partial_c u_{c_i^n}(\cdot - x_i)\|_{l^2}) \\ \lesssim & e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)} \left(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\delta v_k^{m,n}\|_{l^2} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} (|\delta c_i^{m,n}| + \varepsilon^3 |\delta\gamma_i^{m,n}|) \right), \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mathcal{A}_N^m - \mathcal{A}_N^n| + \sum_{k=1}^{N} \left| \delta\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_k^m - \delta\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_k^n \right| \\ \lesssim & \sum_{k=1}^{N} \left(\varepsilon |\delta\gamma_k^{m,n}| + \varepsilon^{-2} |\delta c_k^{m,n}| + \varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}} \|\delta v_k^{m,n}\|_{X_k^n(t)^*} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Combining (2.49), (3.6), (3.6)–(3.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \partial_t \delta\gamma_k^{m,n}(t) - \delta c_k^{m,n}(t) \right| + \varepsilon^{-3} \left| \partial_t \delta c_k^{m,n}(t) \right| \lesssim & e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (|\delta c_i^{m,n}| + \varepsilon^3 |\delta\gamma_i^{m,n}|) \\ + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \|\delta v_i^{m,n}\|_{l^2} + e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \|\delta v_i^{m,n}\|_{X_i^n(t)^* \cap l^2} \right\}. & \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Integrating the above on $[t,n]$ and using $\varepsilon^3 \int_t^n e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(s)} ds \lesssim e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\delta c_k^{m,n}(t)| + \varepsilon^3 \left| \delta\gamma_k^{m,n}(t) - \int_n^t \delta c_k^{m,n}(s) ds \right| \\ \lesssim & |\delta c_k^{m,n}(n)| + \varepsilon^3 |\delta\gamma_k^{m,n}(n)| + e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)} \sup_{s\in[t,n]} (|\delta c_i^{m,n}(s)| + \varepsilon^3 |\delta\gamma_i^{m,n}(s)|) \\ & + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}k_1\varepsilon d(t)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \|\delta v_i^{m,n}\|_{Y_n(t)} + e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \|\delta v_i^{m,n}\|_{Y_n(t)\cap Z_{i,n}(t)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now Lemma 3.1 follows immediately from the above since

$$|\delta c_k^{m,n}(n)| + \varepsilon^3 |\delta\gamma_k^{m,n}(n)| \lesssim \varepsilon^2 e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(n)}$$

by Proposition 2.7. □

Next we will show that $\{v_k^n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence in exponentially weighted spaces if it is a Cauchy sequence in the energy space.

Lemma 3.2. *There exist positive constants* C*,* b*,* T *and an* $n_0\in\mathbb{N}$ *such that for any* $m\ge n\ge n_0$ *and* $t\in[T,n]$*,*

$$\|\delta v_k^{m,n}\|_{Z_{k,n}(t)} \le C\varepsilon^{\frac32}e^{-\frac12k_1\varepsilon d(n)}+C\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\|\delta v_i^{m,n}\|_{Y_n(t)}+\varepsilon^{-\frac12}e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\sum_{i=1}^N\|\delta v_i^{m,n}\|_{Y_n(t)}\right).$$

By Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2, we have the following.

Corollary 3.3.

$$\begin{aligned}&\sup_{s\in[t,n]} e^{\frac12k_1\varepsilon d(s)}\|\delta U_k^{m,n}(s)\|_{l^2}\lesssim\varepsilon^{-\frac12}\sup_{s\in[t,n]}e^{\frac12k_1\varepsilon d(s)}(|\delta c_k^{m,n}(s)|+\varepsilon^3|\delta\gamma_k^{m,n}(s)|)\\ \lesssim&\varepsilon^{\frac32}e^{-\frac12k_1\varepsilon d(n)}+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\|\delta v_i^{m,n}\|_{Y_n(t)}+\varepsilon^{-\frac12}e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\sum_{i=1}^N\|\delta v_i^{m,n}\|_{Y_n(t)}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof of Lemma 3.2. In view of (2.17) and Lemma 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned}\|P_k^m-P_k^n\|_{B(X_k^n(t)^*)}\lesssim&\sum_{i=1}^k(\varepsilon^{-2}|\delta c_i^{m,n}|+\varepsilon|\delta\gamma_i^{m,n}|)\\ \lesssim&\varepsilon^{-\frac32}e^{-\frac12k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\left(\varepsilon^{\frac32}e^{-\frac12k_1\varepsilon d(n)}+\sum_{i=1}^N\|\delta v_i^{m,n}\|_{Y_n(t)\cap Z_{k,n}(t)}\right).\end{aligned}\tag{3.10}$$

Thus by Lemma 2.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|P_k^n(t)\delta v_k^{m,n}(t)\|_{X_k^n(t)^*}=&\|(P_k^n-P_k^m)v_k^m\|_{X_k^n(t)^*}\\ \lesssim&e^{-\frac32k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\left(\varepsilon^{\frac32}e^{-\frac12k_1\varepsilon d(n)}+\sum_{i=1}^N\|\delta v_i^{m,n}\|_{Y_n(t)\cap Z_{k,n}(t)}\right).\end{aligned}\tag{3.11}$$

Now we will estimate $\|Q_k^n(t)\delta v_k^{m,n}(t)\|_{X_k^n(t)^*}$ by using Lemma 2.5. By (2.3),

$$\partial_t(Q_k^n\delta v_k^{m,n})=JH''(U_k^n)Q_k^n\delta v_k^{m,n}+I+II+III+IV,\tag{3.12}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}I=&\dot Q_k^n\delta v_k^{m,n}-[JH''(U_k^n),Q_k^n]\delta v_k^{m,n},\\ II=&Q_k^nJ(H''(U_k^m)-H''(U_k^n))v_k^m,\\ III=&Q_k^n(l_k^m-l_k^n)=(P_k^m-P_k^n)l_k^m,\\ IV=&Q_k^n(Q_k^mJR_k^m-Q_k^nJR_k^n)\\ =&Q_k^nJ(R_k^m-R_k^n)-(P_k^m-P_k^n)P_k^mJR_k^m.\end{aligned}$$

We decompose as I as $I = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 =& -(\varepsilon^3\partial_c u_{c_j^n}, \partial_x u_{c_j^n})_{j=1,\cdots,k\rightarrow}\frac{d}{dt}(\mathcal{A}_k^n)^{-1}\begin{pmatrix}\varepsilon^{-4}\langle\delta v_k^{m,n}, J^{-1}\partial_x u_{c_i^n}\rangle\\ \varepsilon^{-1}\langle\delta v_k^{m,n}, J^{-1}\partial_c u_{c_i^n}\rangle\end{pmatrix}_{i=1,\cdots,k\downarrow},\\
I_2 =& (\varepsilon^3\partial_c u_{c_j^n}, \partial_x u_{c_j^n})_{j=1,\cdots,k\rightarrow}(\mathcal{A}_k^n)^{-1}\begin{pmatrix}\varepsilon^{-4}\langle\delta v_k^{m,n}, \Delta_{12}(i)-\Delta_{11}(i)\rangle\\ \varepsilon^{-1}\langle\delta v_k^{m,n}, \Delta_{22}(i)-\Delta_{21}(i)\rangle\end{pmatrix}_{i=1,\cdots,k\downarrow},\\
I_3 =& J(\varepsilon^3(\Delta_{22}(j)-\Delta_{21}(j)), \Delta_{12}(j)-\Delta_{11}(j))_{j=1,\cdots,k\rightarrow}\\
&\times(\mathcal{A}_k^n)^{-1}\begin{pmatrix}\varepsilon^{-4}\langle\delta v_k^{m,n}, J^{-1}\partial_x u_{c_i^n}\rangle\\ \varepsilon^{-1}\langle\delta v_k^{m,n}, J^{-1}\partial_c u_{c_i^n}\rangle\end{pmatrix}_{i=1,\cdots,k\downarrow},\\
I_4 =& -(\varepsilon^3\partial_c u_{c_j^n}, \partial_x u_{c_j^n})_{j=1,\cdots,k\rightarrow}\left(\mathcal{E}_2(\mathcal{A}_k^n)^{-1}+(\mathcal{A}_k^n)^{-1}\mathcal{E}_2\right)\begin{pmatrix}\varepsilon^{-4}\langle\delta v_k^{m,n}, J^{-1}\partial_x u_{c_i^n}\rangle\\ \varepsilon^{-1}\langle\delta v_k^{m,n}, J^{-1}\partial_c u_{c_i^n}\rangle\end{pmatrix}_{i=1,\cdots,k\downarrow},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Delta_{11}(i) = \dot c_i^n J^{-1}\partial_c\partial_x u_{c_i^n} + (c_i^n - \dot x_i^n)J^{-1}\partial_x^2 u_{c_i^n}, \quad \Delta_{12}(i) = (H''(U_k^n) - H''(u_{c_i^n}))\partial_x u_{c_i^n},\\
&\Delta_{21}(i) = \dot c_i^n J^{-1}\partial_c^2 u_{c_i^n} + (c_i^n - \dot x_i^n)J^{-1}\partial_c\partial_x u_{c_i^n}, \quad \Delta_{22}(i) = (H''(U_k^n) - H''(u_{c_i^n}))\partial_c u_{c_i^n}.
\end{aligned}$$

By (2.18), we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{A}_{i,j}^n = \begin{cases} O(\varepsilon^3 e^{-k_1\varepsilon|x_i^n - x_j^n|}) & \text{if } i \neq j,\\ O(\varepsilon^3 e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}) & \text{if } i = j.\end{cases} \tag{3.13}$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\langle\partial_c u_{c_i^n}(\cdot - x_i^n), J^{-1}\partial_c u_{c_j^n}(\cdot - x_j^n)\rangle\\
=&\dot c_i^n\langle\partial_c^2 u_{c_i^n}(\cdot - x_i^n), J^{-1}\partial_c u_{c_j^n}(\cdot - x_j^n)\rangle + \dot c_j^n\langle\partial_c u_{c_i^n}(\cdot - x_i^n), J^{-1}\partial_c^2 u_{c_j^n}(\cdot - x_j^n)\rangle\\
&+ (c_i^n - \dot x_i^n)\langle\partial_x\partial_c u_{c_i^n}(\cdot - x_i^n), J^{-1}\partial_c u_{c_j^n}(\cdot - x_j^n)\rangle\\
&+ (c_j^n - \dot x_j^n)\langle\partial_c u_{c_i^n}(\cdot - x_i^n), J^{-1}\partial_x\partial_c u_{c_j^n}(\cdot - x_j^n)\rangle\\
&+ \langle\partial_c u_{c_i^n}(\cdot - x_i^n), H''(u_{c_j^n}(\cdot - x_j^n))\partial_c u_{c_j^n}(\cdot - x_j^n)\rangle\\
&- \langle H''(u_{c_i^n}(\cdot - x_i^n))\partial_c u_{c_i^n}(\cdot - x_i^n), \partial_c u_{c_j^n}(\cdot - x_j^n)\rangle\\
&+ (c_i^n)^{-1}\langle H'(u_{c_i^n}(\cdot - x_i^n)), \partial_c u_{c_j^n}(\cdot - x_j^n)\rangle - (c_j^n)^{-1}\langle H'(u_{c_j^n}(\cdot - x_j^n)), \partial_c u_{c_i^n}(\cdot - x_i^n)\rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt}\langle\partial_c u_{c_i^n}(\cdot - x_i^n), J^{-1}\partial_c u_{c_j^n}(\cdot - x_j^n)\rangle = O(\varepsilon e^{-k_1\varepsilon|x_i^n - x_j^n|})$$

follows from (2.18), Claim A.2 and the fact that $H''(u_c) - I = O(u_c)$. We can compute other components of $\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{A}_{i,j}^n$ in the same way. By (2.20) and (3.13), we have

$$\|I_1\|_{X_k^n(t)^*} \lesssim \varepsilon^3 e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\|\delta v_k^{m,n}\|_{X_k^n(t)^*}. \tag{3.14}$$

By Lemma 2.2, Proposition 2.7 and Claim A.1,

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon^{-3}(\|\Delta_{11}\|_{X_k^n(t)}+\|\Delta_{12}\|_{X_k^n(t)})+\|\Delta_{21}\|_{X_k^n(t)}+\|\Delta_{22}\|_{X_k^n(t)}\lesssim&\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}e^{k_1\varepsilon(x_i-x_k)}e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)},\\ \varepsilon^{-3}(\|\Delta_{11}\|_{X_k^n(t)^*}+\|\Delta_{12}\|_{X_k^n(t)^*})+\|\Delta_{21}\|_{X_k^n(t)^*}+\|\Delta_{22}\|_{X_k^n(t)^*}\lesssim&\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}e^{k_1\varepsilon(x_k-x_i)}e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}.\end{aligned}$$

Hence it follows that

$$\|I_2\|_{X_k^n(t)^*}+\|I_3\|_{X_k^n(t)^*}\lesssim\varepsilon^3e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\|\delta v_k^{m,n}\|_{X_k^n(t)^*}. \tag{3.15}$$

In view of (2.20), we see that the first order terms of I_4 cancel each other out and

$$\|I_4\|_{X_k^n(t)^*}\lesssim\varepsilon^3e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\|\delta v_k^{m,n}\|_{X_k^n(t)^*}. \tag{3.16}$$

Lemmas 2.6 and 3.1 imply

$$\begin{aligned}&\|(H''(U_k^m)-H''(U_k^n))v_k^m\|_{X_k^n(t)^*}\\ \lesssim&\sum_{i=1}^k(|\delta c_i^{m,n}|+\varepsilon^3|\delta\gamma_i^{m,n}|)\|v_k^m\|_{X_k^n(t)^*}\\ \lesssim&\varepsilon^2e^{-\frac{3}{2}k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}e^{-\frac{1}{2}k_1\varepsilon d(n)}+\sum_{i=1}^N\|\delta v_i^{m,n}\|_{Y_n(t)\cap Z_{i,n}(t)}\right).\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

By Lemma 2.6, (2.46) and (3.10),

$$\|III\|_{X_k^n(t)^*}\lesssim\varepsilon^3e^{-\frac{3}{2}k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}e^{-\frac{1}{2}k_1\varepsilon d(n)}+\sum_{i=1}^N\|\delta v_i^{m,n}\|_{Y_n(t)\cap Z_{i,n}(t)}\right).$$

By Proposition 2.7, Lemma 3.1, (3.1) and (3.3)–(3.5),

$$\begin{aligned}\|R_{k1}^m-R_{k1}^n\|_{X_k^n(t)^*}\lesssim&\|v_k^n\|_{X_k^n(t)^*}\sum_{i=1}^k\|\delta v_i^{m,n}\|_{l^2}+\|\delta v_k^{m,n}\|_{X_k^n(t)^*}\sum_{i=1}^k\|v_i^m\|_{l^2}\\ &+\|v_k^n\|_{X_k^n(t)^*}\sum_{i,j=1}^k\|v_j^n\|_{l^2}(|\delta c_i^{m,n}|+\varepsilon^3|\delta\gamma_i^{m,n}|)\\ \lesssim&\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}e^{-\frac{3}{2}k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{7}{2}}e^{-\frac{1}{2}k_1\varepsilon d(n)}+\sum_{i=1}^N\|\delta v_i^{m,n}\|_{Y_n(t)\cap Z_{i,n}(t)}\right),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|R_{k2}^m-R_{k2}^n\|_{X_k^n(t)^*}\lesssim&\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\sum_{i=1}^k(|\delta c_i^{m,n}|+\varepsilon^3|\delta\gamma_i^{m,n}|)\\ \lesssim&\varepsilon^2e^{-\frac{3}{2}k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}e^{-\frac{1}{2}k_1\varepsilon d(n)}+\sum_{i=1}^N\|\delta v_i^{m,n}\|_{Y_n(t)\cap Z_{i,n}(t)}\right),\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|R^m_{k3} - R^n_{k3}\|_{X^n_k(t)^*}\\
\lesssim&\varepsilon^2 \|\delta w^{m,n}_{k-1}\|_{l^2} + \|w^n_{k-1}\|_{l^2}\sum_{i=1}^{k}(|\delta c^{m,n}_i| + \varepsilon^3|\delta\gamma^{m,n}_i|)\\
\lesssim&\varepsilon^2 e^{-\frac{1}{2}k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\|\delta w^{m,n}_i\|_{Y_n(t)} + e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}e^{-\frac{1}{2}k_1\varepsilon d(n)} + \sum_{i=1}^{N}\|\delta v^{m,n}_i\|_{Y_n(t)\cap Z_{i,n}(t)}\right)\right\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

By (2.26) and Proposition 2.7,

$$\|e^{-k_1\varepsilon(\cdot - x^n_k(t))}R^n_k\|_{l^1} \lesssim \varepsilon^3 e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}.$$

Thus by (3.10) and the fact that $\|P^m_k J\|_{B(X^n_k(t)^*, e^{k_1\varepsilon(\cdot - x^n_k(t))}l^1)} = O(\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}})$, we have

$$\|(P^m_k - P^n_k)P^m_k JR^m_k\|_{X^n_k(t)^*} \lesssim \varepsilon^3 e^{-\frac{3}{2}k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}e^{-\frac{1}{2}k_1\varepsilon d(n)} + \sum_{i=1}^{N}\|\delta v^{m,n}_i\|_{Y_n(t)\cap Z_{i,n}(t)}\right).$$

Combining the above with Lemma 2.5, we see that for $t \in [T, n]$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|Q^n_k(t)\delta v^{m,n}_k(t)\|_{X^n_k(t)^*} \lesssim e^{b\varepsilon^3(t-n)}\|Q^n_k(n)\delta v^{m,n}_k(n)\|_{X^n_k(n)^*}\\
&+\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{9}{2}}e^{-\frac{1}{2}k_1\varepsilon d(n)} + \varepsilon^3\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\|\delta v^{m,n}_i\|_{Y_n(t)} + \varepsilon^{\frac{5}{2}}e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\|\delta v^{m,n}_i\|_{Y_n(t)\cap Z_{i,n}(t)}\right)\\
&\times\int_t^n e^{b\varepsilon^3(t-s)}\left(1 + (\varepsilon^3(s-t))\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}e^{-\frac{1}{2}k_1\varepsilon d(s)}ds.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.18}
$$

Thus we have Lemma 3.2 from (3.11) and (3.18). □

Secondly, we will prove that $\{v^n_k\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence sequence in the energy space.

Lemma 3.4. *There exist an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and a $C > 0$ such that for any $m \geq n \geq n_0$ and $t \in [T, n]$,*

$$\|\delta v^{m,n}_k\|^2_{Y_n(t)} \leq C\left(\varepsilon^3 e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(n)} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\|\delta v^{m,n}_i\|^2_{Y_n(t)} + \varepsilon^{-1}e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\|\delta v^{m,n}_i\|^2_{Y_n(t)}\right).$$

Proof. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta^2 H &= H'(U^m_k + w^m_k) - H'(U^n_k + w^n_k) - H''(U^n_k + w^n_k)(\delta U^{m,n}_k + \delta w^{m,n}_k),\\
\delta N &= \tilde{l}^m_k - \tilde{l}^n_k + \sum_{i=1}^{k}(l^m_i - l^n_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{k}(P^m_i JR^m_i - P^n_i JR^n_i).
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$\partial_t(\delta U^{m,n}_k + \delta w^{m,n}_k) = JH''(U^n_k + w^n_k)(\delta U^{m,n}_k + \delta w^{m,n}_k) + J\delta^2 H + \delta N,$$

and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\langle H''(U_k^n)(\delta U_k^{m,n}+\delta w_k^{m,n}),\delta U_k^{m,n}+\delta w_k^{m,n}\rangle\\
=&2\langle H''(U_k^n)(\delta U_k^{m,n}+\delta w_k^{m,n}),JH''(U_k^n+w_k^n)(\delta U_k^{m,n}+\delta w_k^{m,n})\rangle\\
&+2\langle H''(U_k^m)(\delta U_k^{m,n}+\delta w_k^{m,n}),J\delta^2 H\rangle+2\langle H''(U_k^n)(\delta U_k^{m,n}+\delta w_k^{m,n}),\delta N\rangle\\
&+\left\langle\left(\frac{d}{dt}H''(U_k^n)\right)(\delta U_k^{m,n}+\delta w_k^{m,n}),\delta U_k^{m,n}+\delta w_k^{m,n}\right\rangle\\
=:&I+II+III+IV.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the skew-adjointness of J and Proposition 2.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|I|\le&2\|H''(U_k^n+w_k^n)-H''(U_k^n)\|_{B(l^2)}\|\delta U_k^{m,n}+\delta w_k^{m,n}\|_{l^2}^2\\
\lesssim&\varepsilon^{\frac32}e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\|\delta U_k^{m,n}+\delta w_k^{m,n}\|_{l^2}^2.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.19}$$

Proposition 2.7 and Corollary 3.3 imply

$$|II|\lesssim\|\delta U_k^{m,n}+\delta w_k^{m,n}\|_{l^2}^3\lesssim\varepsilon^{\frac32}e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\|\delta U_k^{m,n}+\delta w_k^{m,n}\|_{l^2}^2.\tag{3.20}$$

Next, we will estimate III. In view of Lemma 3.1 and (3.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&e^{\frac12 k_1\varepsilon d(t)}(|\partial_t\delta c_k^{m,n}|+\varepsilon^3|\partial_t\delta\gamma_k^{m,n}-\delta c_k^{m,n}|)\\
\lesssim&\varepsilon^{\frac72}e^{-\frac12 k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\|\delta v_i^{m,n}\|_{Y_n(t)}+e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\left(\varepsilon^{\frac32}e^{-\frac12 k_1\varepsilon d(n)}+\sum_{i=1}^N\|\delta v_i^{m,n}\|_{Y_n(t)\cap Z_{i,n}(t)}\right)\right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the above with (2.12), (3.7) and (3.8), we obtain

$$|\alpha_{ik}^m-\alpha_{ik}^n|+\varepsilon^3|\beta_{ik}^m-\beta_{ik}^n|\lesssim\varepsilon^{\frac72}e^{-\frac32 k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\left(\varepsilon^{\frac32}e^{-\frac12 k_1\varepsilon d(n)}+\sum_{i=1}^N\|\delta v_i^{m,n}\|_{Y_n(t)\cap Z_{i,n}(t)}\right).$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&e^{\frac12 k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\left(\|\tilde l_k^m-\tilde l_k^n\|_{W_k^n(t)^*}+\sum_{i=1}^k\|l_i^m-l_i^n\|_{W_k^n(t)^*}\right)\\
\lesssim&\varepsilon^3\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\|\delta v_i^{m,n}\|_{Y_n(t)}+\varepsilon^3e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\left(\varepsilon^{\frac32}e^{-\frac12 k_1\varepsilon d(n)}+\sum_{i=1}^N\|\delta v_i^{m,n}\|_{Y_n(t)\cap Z_{i,n}(t)}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 2.7 and (3.3)–(3.5),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|R_{k1}^m-R_{k1}^n\|_{\widetilde W_k(t)}\lesssim\varepsilon^{\frac32}e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}(\|\delta w_{k-1}^{m,n}\|_{W_k^n(t)}+\|\delta v_k^{m,n}\|_{W_k^n(t)}),\\
&\|R_{k2}^m-R_{k2}^n\|_{W_k^n(t)}\lesssim\varepsilon^2e^{-\frac32 k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\left(\varepsilon^{\frac32}e^{-\frac12 k_1\varepsilon d(n)}+\sum_{i=1}^N\|\delta v_i^{m,n}\|_{Y_n(t)\cap Z_{i,n}(t)}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|R^m_{k3}-R^n_{k3}\|_{W^n_k(t)}\\
\lesssim&\varepsilon^2 e^{-\frac{1}{2}k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\|\delta v_i^{m,n}\|_{Y_n(t)}+e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}e^{-\frac{1}{2}k_1\varepsilon d(n)}+\sum_{i=1}^{N}\|\delta v_i^{m,n}\|_{Y_n(t)\cap Z_{i,n}(t)}\right)\right\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

in the same way as the proof of Lemma 3.2. Since $\|R^n_k\|_{\widetilde{W}_k(t)^*}\lesssim \varepsilon^3 e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|P^n_i J\|_{B(W^n_k(t),W^n_k(t)^*)}+\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}\|P^n_i J\|_{B(\widetilde{W}^n_k(t),W^n_k(t)^*)}=O(\varepsilon),\\
&\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\|(P^m_i-P^n_i)J\|_{B(W^n_k(t),W^n_k(t)^*)}+\|(P^m_i-P^n_i)J\|_{B(\widetilde{W}^n_k(t),W^n_k(t)^*)}\\
\lesssim&e^{-\frac{1}{2}k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}e^{-\frac{1}{2}k_1\varepsilon d(n)}+\sum_{k=1}^{N}\|\delta v_k^{m,n}\|_{Y_n(t)\cap Z_{k,n}(t)}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|P^m_i JR^m_i-P^n_i JR^n_i\|_{W^n_k(t)^*}\\
\le&\|(P^m_i-P^n_i)JR^m_i\|_{W^n_k(t)^*}+\|P^n_i J(R^m_i-R^n_i)\|_{W^n_k(t)^*}\\
\lesssim&\varepsilon^3 e^{-\frac{1}{2}k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\|\delta v_i^{m,n}\|_{Y_n(t)}+e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\left(\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}e^{-\frac{1}{2}k_1\varepsilon d(n)}+\sum_{i=1}^{N}\|\delta v_i^{m,n}\|_{Y_n(t)\cap Z_{i,n}(t)}\right)\right\}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
|III|\lesssim&\|\delta U_k^{m,n}+\delta w_k^{m,n}\|_{W^n_k(t)}\|\delta N\|_{W^n_k(t)^*}\\
\lesssim&\varepsilon^3\|\delta U_k^{m,n}+\delta w_k^{m,n}\|^2_{W^n_k(t)}+\varepsilon^3 e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\|\delta v_i^{m,n}\|^2_{Y_n(t)}\\
&+\varepsilon^3 e^{-3k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\left(\varepsilon^3 e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(n)}+\sum_{i=1}^{N}\|\delta v_i^{m,n}\|^2_{Y_n(t)\cap Z_{i,n}(t)}\right).
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.21}
$$

Since $\|\frac{d}{dt}H''(U^n_k)\|_{B(W^n_k(t),W^n_k(t)^*)}=O(\varepsilon^3)$,

$$
|IV|\lesssim \varepsilon^3\|\delta U_k^{m,n}+\delta w_k^{m,n}\|^2_{W^n_k(t)}.
\tag{3.22}
$$

By Lemma 3.2, Corollary 3.3 and the fact that $\|v_k(t)\|_{W^n_k(t)}\lesssim\|v_k(t)\|_{X^n_k(t)^*}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\|\delta U_k^{m,n}+\delta w_k^{m,n}\|^2_{W^n_k(t)}\\
\lesssim&\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\|\delta v_i^{m,n}\|^2_{l^2}+\|\delta v_k^{m,n}\|^2_{X^n_k(t)^*}+\|\delta U_k^{m,n}\|^2_{l^2}\\
\lesssim&\varepsilon^3 e^{-k_1\varepsilon(d(t)+d(n))}+\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\|\delta v_i^{m,n}\|^2_{Y_n(t)}+\varepsilon^{-1}e^{-3k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\|\delta v_i^{m,n}\|^2_{Y_n(t)}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.23}
$$

Combining (3.19)–(3.23), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\frac{d}{dt}\langle H''(U_k^n)(\delta U_k^{m,n}+\delta w_k^{m,n}),\delta U_k^{m,n}+\delta w_k^{m,n}\rangle\right| \\
&\lesssim \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\|\delta U_k^{m,n}+\delta w_k^{m,n}\|_{l^2}^2+\varepsilon^6e^{-k_1\varepsilon(d(t)+d(n))}+\varepsilon^3e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\|\delta v_i^{m,n}(t)\|_{Y_n(t)}^2 \qquad (3.24)\\
&\quad+\varepsilon^2e^{-3k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\|\delta v_i^{m,n}\|_{Y_n(t)}^2.
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrating (3.24)over $[t,n]$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(1+O(\varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}}e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)})\right)\|\delta U_k^{m,n}(t)+\delta w_k^{m,n}(t)\|_{l^2}^2 \qquad (3.25)\\
&\lesssim \varepsilon^3e^{-k_1\varepsilon(d(n)+d(t))}+e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\|\delta v_i^{m,n}(t)\|_{Y_n(t)}^2+\varepsilon^{-1}e^{-3k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\|\delta v_i^{m,n}\|_{Y_n(t)}^2.
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining the above with Corollary 3.3, we have Lemma 3.4. Thus we complete the proof. □

Now we are in position to prove existence of N-soliton states.

Proof of the former part of Theorem 1.1. By 3.1, 3.2 and 3.4, there exist positive constant C and $n_0\in\mathbb{N}$ such that

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{N}e^{\frac{1}{2}k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\left\{\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\delta v_k^{m,n}(t)\|_{l^2}+|\delta c_k^{m,n}(t)|+\varepsilon^3|\delta\gamma_k^{m,n}(t)|\right\}\le Ce^{-\frac{1}{2}k_1\varepsilon d(n)} \qquad (3.26)
$$

for every $m\ge n\ge n_0$ and $t\in[T,n]$. Therefore

$$
v_k(t)=\lim_{n\to\infty}v_k^n(t),\quad c_k(t)=\lim_{n\to\infty}c_k^n(t),\quad \gamma_k(t)=\lim_{n\to\infty}\gamma_k^n(t)
$$

exist for every $t\ge T$ and it follows from Proposition 2.7 that

$$
\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\|v_k(t)\|_{l^2}+|c_k(t)-c_{k,+}|+\varepsilon^3|\gamma_k(t)-\gamma_{k,+}|\le A\varepsilon^2e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)} \qquad (3.27)
$$

for every $1\le k\le N$ and $t\ge T$, where A is a constant independent of t. Moreover,

$$
u(t,n):=\sum_{k=1}^{N}\left(u_{c_k(t)}(n-c_{k,+}t-\gamma_k(t))+v_k(t,n)\right)
$$

is a solution of (1.2). In view of (3.27),

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|u(t)-\sum_{k=1}^{N}u_{c_{k,+}}(\cdot-c_{k,+}t-\gamma_{k,+})\right\|_{l^2}\\
\le&\sum_{k=1}^{N}\|v_k(t)\|_{l^2}+\|u_{c_k(t)}(\cdot-c_{k,+}t-\gamma_k(t))-u_{c_{k,+}}(\cdot-c_{k,+}t-\gamma_{k,+})\|_{l^2}\\
\lesssim&\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus we prove existence of a solution to (1.2) satisfying (1.4). □

4. Uniqueness

In this section, we will prove uniqueness of a solution of (1.2) that converges to a sum of N solitary waves as $t \to \infty$. First, we will prove that an asymptotic N-soliton state converges to a sum of N solitary waves as $t \to \infty$ if it is sufficiently small.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $k_N > \cdots > k_1 > 0$, $c_{i,+} = 1 + \frac{(k_i\varepsilon)^2}{6}$ and $\gamma_{i,+} \in \mathbb{R}$ for $1 \le i \le N$. There exists a positive number ε_0 such that if $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$ and $u(t)$ is a solution of* (1.2) *satisfying* (1.4)*, then* (1.5) *holds for a $\beta > 0$.*

To prove Proposition 4.1, we will decompose $u(t)$ as in Section 2. Let

$$U_{N,+}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} u_{c_{i,+}}(\cdot - c_{i,+}t - \gamma_{i,+})$$

and let $v_{0n}(t)$ and $v_{kn}(t)$ $(1 \le k \le N)$ be solutions of

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v_0^n = JH'(v_0^n), \\ v_{0n}(n) = u(n) - U_{N,+}(n), \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v_k^n = JH''(U_k^n(t))v_k^n + l_k^n + Q_k^n(t)JR_k^n, \\ v_k^n(n) = 0, \end{cases} \tag{4.2}$$

where $w_k^n = \sum_{i=0}^{k} v_i^n$ $(0 \le k \le N)$, U_k^n, R_k^n and l_k^n are defined as (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6) and $x_i^n(t)$, $c_i^n(t)$, $\alpha_{ik}^n(t)$, $\beta_{ik}^n(t)$ are solutions of (2.7)–(2.9). Then as in Section 2, we have $u(t) = U_N^n(t) + w_N^n(t)$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, following the proof of [17, Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2], we see that there exist $n_0 \in N$ and $T > 0$ such that the decomposition above exists for every $t \in [T, n]$ and $n \ge n_0$.

Lemma 4.2. *Assume that $c_{i,+}$ and T be as in Theorem 1.1. Let $u(t)$ be a solution of* (1.2) *satisfying* (1.4) *and let v_0^n and v_i^n $(1 \le i \le N)$ be solutions of* (4.1) *and* (4.2)*, respectively. Suppose that x_i^n and c_i^n $(1 \le i \le N)$ are solutions of* (2.7) *and* (2.8) *and that α_{ij}^n and β_{ij}^n $(1 \le i \le k \le N)$ are C^1-functions satisfying* (2.9)*. Then $u = U_N^n + w_N^n$ and v_k^n satisfies* (2.10) *for $1 \le i \le k \le N$.*

Furthermore, there exist a positive constant A and an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $n \ge n_0$, $t \in [T, n]$ and $1 \le k \le N$,

$$|\dot{c}_k^n(t)| + \varepsilon^3 |\dot{x}_k^n(t) - c_k^n(t)| \le A\varepsilon^{\frac{7}{2}} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{N} \|v_i^n(t)\|_{W^n(t)} + \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-k_1 \varepsilon d(t)} \right),$$

$$|\ddot{c}_k^n(t)| + \varepsilon^3 |\ddot{x}_k^n(t)| \le A\varepsilon^{\frac{9}{2}} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{N} \|v_i^n(t)\|_{W^n(t)} + \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} e^{-k_1 \varepsilon d(t)} \right),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\frac{d}{dt}\left\{c_k^n(t)\left(1-\theta_1(c_k^n(t))^{-1}\langle w_{k-1}^n(t),\rho_{c_k^n(t)}\rangle\right)\right\}\right|\\ \leq & A\varepsilon^2\left(\|v_0^n(t)\|_{W^n(t)}^2+\sum_{i=1}^N\|v_i^n(t)\|_{W(t)\cap X_k(t)}^2+\varepsilon^3e^{-2k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\right),\end{aligned}\tag{4.3}$$

where $\rho_c=\partial_x(c\partial_x+J)^{-1}(H'(u_c)-u_c)$.

Proof. Except for (4.3), Lemma 4.2 can be shown in exactly the same way as Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2.

Since (4.3) can be shown in the same way as Lemma 2.2 in [17], we only give an outline of the proof. As in the proofs of [17, Lemmas 2.2 and 2.5] and (2.33), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\theta_1(c_i^n)\dot{c}_i^n-c_i^n\langle R_{i3}^n,\partial_xu_{c_i^n}\rangle\right|\lesssim\varepsilon^3\left(\|v_0^n\|_{W^n(t)}^2+\sum_{k=1}^N\|v_k^n\|_{X_k^n(t)^*\cap W^n(t)}^2+\varepsilon^3e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\right),\\ &R_{k3}^n=(H''(u_{c_k^n})-I)w_{k-1}^n+\sum_{\substack{1\leq i,\,j\leq k\\ i\neq j}}O(|w_{k-1}^n|(|u_{c_i}^n||u_{c_j}^n|+|w_{k-1}^n|)),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\left|\langle w_{k-1}^n,(H''(u_{c_k}^n)-I)\partial_xu_{c_k}^n\rangle+\frac{d}{dt}\langle w_{k-1}^n,\rho_{c_k}^n(\cdot-x_k^n)\rangle\right|\\ \lesssim&\varepsilon^3\left(\|v_0^n\|_{W^n(t)}+\sum_{k=1}^N\|v_k^n\|_{X_k^n(t)^*\cap W^n(t)}\right)^2+\varepsilon^6e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}.\end{aligned}$$

Combining the above, we obtain (4.3). □

The following energy estimates of $v_k^n(t)$ can be shown in the same way as Lemma 2.3.

Lemma 4.3. *Let* $u(t)$ *and* $v_i^n(t)$ $(0\leq i\leq N)$ *be as in Lemma 4.2 and let* $c_{i,+}$ *and* T *be as in Theorem 1.1. Then there exist an* $n_0\in\mathbb{N}$ *and a* $C>0$ *such that for* $n\geq n_0$ *and* $t\in[T,n]$,

$$\|v^n(t)\|_{l^2}^2\leq C\left(\varepsilon\sum_{i=1}^N|c_i^n(t)-c_{i,+}|+\varepsilon^{\frac32}\sum_{i=0}^N\|v_i^n\|_{W^n(t)}+\varepsilon^3e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\right),$$

$$\begin{aligned}\|v_k^n(t)\|_{l^2}^2\leq&C\left(\varepsilon\sum_{i=1}^k|c_i^n(t)-c_{i,+}|+\varepsilon^{\frac32}\sum_{i=0}^k\|v_i^n\|_{W_k^n(t)}\right)\\ &+C\varepsilon^3\left(\sum_{i=1}^N\|v_i^n\|_{L^2(t,n;W^n(s)\cap X_i^n(s)^*)}^2+e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\right)\quad\text{for }1\leq k\leq N.\end{aligned}$$

We have the following local energy estimates for large n.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $v_0^n(t)$ be a solution of* (4.1)*. Then there exists a positive constant C such that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\sup_{t\in\mathbb{R}} \|v_0^n(t)\|_{l^2} + \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}} \|v_0^n\|_{L^2(-\infty,n;W^n(t))} \le C\|v_0^n(n)\|_{l^2}.$$

Lemma 4.5. *Let $u(t)$ and $v_i^n(t)$ $(0 \le i \le N)$ be as in Lemma 4.2 and let $c_{i,+}$ and T be as in Theorem 1.1. Then there exist $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and a positive constant C such that for $n \ge n_0$, $t_1 \in [T,n]$ and $1 \le k \le N$,*

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle \psi_{k_1\varepsilon,N} w_k^n(t_1), w_k^n(t_1)\rangle_{l^2} + \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}\|w_k^n\|_{L^2(t_1,n;W^n(t))}\\ \le& C\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}\left(\|v_k^n\|_{L^2(t_1,n;X_k^n(t)^*)} + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1}\|w_i^n\|_{L^2(t_1,n;W_k^n(t))} + e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t_1)}\right),\end{aligned}$$

$$\|v_k^n(t_1)\|_{X_k^n(t_1)} + \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}\|v_k^n\|_{L^2(t_1,n;X_k^n(t))} \le C\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}\left(\|w_{k-1}^n\|_{L^2(t_1,n;W_k^n(t))} + e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t_1)}\right).$$

Since Lemmas 4.4 and 4.5 can be proved in the same way as [17, Lemma 3.2] and Lemmas 2.4 and 2.6, we omit the proof. Now we are in position to prove Proposition 4.1.

Proof of Proposition 4.1. Lemmas 4.2 and 4.4 imply that for $1 \le k \le N$ and $t \in [T,n]$,

$$\|v_k^n(t)\|_{W^n(t)\cap X_k^n(t)^*} + \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}\|v_k^n(t)\|_{L^2(t,n;W^n(s)\cap X_k^n(s)^*)} \le C(\|v_0^n(n)\|_{l^2} + \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}), \tag{4.4}$$

where C is a positive constant independent of $n \ge n_0$. It follows from (4.3), Claim A.4 and the above that

$$\begin{aligned}\varepsilon^{-2}|c_i^n(t) - c_{i,+}| \lesssim& \varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}}(\|v_0^n(n)\|_{l^2} + \|w_{i-1}^n(t)\|_{W^n(t)})\\ &+\sum_{i=0}^{N}\|v_i^n\|^2_{L^2(t,n;W^n(s))} + \sum_{i=1}^{N}\|v_i^n\|^2_{L^2(t,n;X_i^n(s)^*)} + e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\\ \lesssim& \varepsilon^{-\frac{3}{2}}(\|v_0^n(n)\|_{l^2} + \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)})\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

for every $t \in [T,n]$ and $n \ge n_0$ and it follows from Lemma 4.3 that

$$\|v_k^n(t)\|^2_{l^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}(\|v_0^n(n)\|_{l^2} + \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}). \tag{4.6}$$

Moreover, Lemma 4.2 and (4.4) imply that

$$|\dot{c}_i^n(t)| + \varepsilon^3|\dot{x}_i^n(t) - c_i^n(t)| \lesssim \varepsilon^{\frac{7}{2}}(\|v_0^n(n)\|_{l^2} + \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}), \tag{4.7}$$

$$|\ddot{c}_i^n(t)| + \varepsilon^3|\ddot{x}_i^n(t)| \lesssim \varepsilon^{\frac{9}{2}}(\|v_0^n(n)\|_{l^2} + \varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}). \tag{4.8}$$

Let I be a compact subinterval of $[T,\infty)$. By (4.5)–(4.8) and the assumption (1.4), we see that $x_k^n(t)$ and $c_k^n(t)$ are bounded in $C^2(I)$. Thus by Arzela's theorem, there exit subsequences $\{c_k^{n_j}(t)\}_{j=1}^\infty$, $\{x_k^{n_j}(t)\}_{j=1}^\infty$ and C^1-functions $c_k(t)$ and $c_k(t)$ such that for any compact interval $I \subset [T,\infty)$,

$$\lim_{j\to\infty}\|c_k^{n_j}(t) - c_k(t)\|_{C^1(I)} = \lim_{j\to\infty}\|x_k^{n_j}(t) - x_k(t)\|_{C^1(I)} = 0.$$

Since $\lim_{n\to\infty}\|v_0^n(n)\|_{l^2}=0$, it follows from (4.6) and (4.7) that for $1\le k\le N$ and $t\ge T$,

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{N}\|v_k^n(t)\|_{l^2}\lesssim\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)},\quad |\dot c_k(t)|+\varepsilon^3|\dot x_k(t)-c_k(t)|\lesssim\varepsilon^5e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}.$$

Thus there exist real constants $\tilde c_{k,+}$ and $\tilde\gamma_{k,+}$ such that

$$|c_k(t)-\tilde c_{k,+}|+\varepsilon^3|x_k(t)-\tilde c_{k,+}t-\tilde\gamma_{k,+}|\lesssim\varepsilon^2e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}. \tag{4.9}$$

Let $\widetilde U_{N,+}(t)=\sum_{k=1}^N u_{\tilde c_{k,+}}(\cdot-\tilde c_{k,+}t-\tilde\gamma_{k,+})$. By (4.9),

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|u(t)-\widetilde U_{N,+}(t)\|_{l^2}\\
\lesssim&\lim_{j\to\infty}\sum_{k=1}^{N}\|u_{c_k^{n_j}(t)}(\cdot-x_k^{n_j}(t))-u_{\tilde c_{k,+}}(\cdot-\tilde c_{k,+}t-\tilde\gamma_{k,+})\|_{l^2}+\limsup_{j\to\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{N}\|v_k^{n_j}(t)\|_{l^2}\\
\lesssim&\varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{k=1}^{N}\left(|c_k(t)-\tilde c_{k,+}|+\varepsilon^3|x_k(t)-\tilde c_{k,+}t-\tilde\gamma_{k,+}|\right)+\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\\
\lesssim&\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (1.4) and the above, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|U_{N,+}(t)-\widetilde U_{N,+}(t)\|_{W(t)}\\
\le&\|u(t)-U_{N,+}(t)\|_{l^2}+\|u(t)-\widetilde U_{N,+}(t)\|_{W(t)}\to0\quad\text{as } t\to\infty,
\end{aligned}$$

and $\widetilde U_{N,+}(t)=U_{N,+}(t)$. This completes the proof of Proposition 4.1. □

Finally, we will prove the uniqueness of solutions to (1.2) that satisfy (1.4).

Proof of of the latter part of Theorem 1.1. Let $u(t)$ and $\bar u(t)$ be solutions of (1.2) satisfying (1.4). By Proposition 4.1,

$$\|u(t)-U_{N,+}(t)\|_{l^2}+\|\bar u(t)-U_{N,+}(t)\|_{l^2}=O(\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}).$$

First we decompose $\bar u(t)$ in the same way as $u(t)$. Let

$$\bar u(t,\cdot)=\sum_{k=1}^{N}u_{\bar c_k^n(t)}(\cdot-\bar x_k^n(t))+\sum_{k=0}^{N}\bar v_k^n(t,\cdot),$$

where $\bar v_{0n}(t)$ and $\bar v_{kn}(t)$ $(1\le k\le N)$ are solutions of

$$\partial_t\bar v_0^n=JH'(\bar v_0^n),\qquad \bar v_{0n}(n)=\bar u(n)-U_{N,+}(n),$$

$$\partial_t\bar v_k^n=JH''(\overline{U}_k^n(t))\bar v_k^n+\bar l_k^n+\overline{Q}_k^n(t)J\overline{R}_k^n,\qquad \bar v_k^n(n)=0,$$

$\bar w_k^n=\sum_{i=0}^k\bar v_i^n$ for $k\ge0$, $\overline{U}_0^n(t)=0$, $\overline{U}_k^n(t)=\sum_{i=1}^k u_{\bar c_k^n(t)}(\cdot-\bar x_k^n(t))$ for $k\ge1$,

$$\overline{R}_k^n(t)=H'(\overline{U}_k^n+\bar w_k^n)-H'(\overline{U}_{k-1}^n+\bar w_{k-1}^n)-H'(u_{\bar c_k^n}(\cdot-\bar x_k^n))-H''(\overline{U}_k^n)\bar v_k^n,$$

$$\bar l_k^n(t)=\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left(\bar\alpha_{ik}^n(t)\partial_c u_{\bar c_i^n(t)}(\cdot-\bar x_k^n(t))+\bar\beta_{ik}^n(t)\partial_x u_{\bar c_i^n(t)}(\cdot-\bar x_k^n(t))\right),$$

and $\bar{x}_i^n(t)$, $\bar{c}_i^n(t)$, $\bar{\alpha}_{ik}^n(t)$ and $\bar{\beta}_{ik}^n(t)$ are chosen in the same way as $x_i^n(t)$, $c_i^n(t)$, $\alpha_{ik}^n(t)$ and $\beta_{ik}^n(t)$. Then $\bar{u}(t) = \overline{U}_N^n(t) + \bar{w}_N^n(t)$, $\bar{x}_k^n(n) = c_{k,+}n + \gamma_{k,+}$, $\bar{c}_k^n(n) = c_{k,+}$,

$$\langle \bar{v}_k^n(t), J^{-1}\partial_x u_{\bar{c}_i^n(t)}(\cdot - \bar{x}_i^n(t))\rangle = \langle \bar{v}_k^n(t), J^{-1}\partial_c u_{\bar{c}_i^n(t)}(\cdot - \bar{x}_i^n(t))\rangle = 0$$

for $1 \le i \le k \le N$ and $t \in [T, n]$.

Let $\delta c_k^n = c_k^n - \bar{c}_k^n$, $\delta\gamma_k^n = x_k^n - \bar{x}_k^n$, $\delta v_k^n = v_k^n - \bar{v}_k^n$ and $\delta w_k^n = w_k^n - \bar{w}_k^n$. Proposition 4.1 and Lemma 4.4 imply that there exist a $C > 0$ and an $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $t \in [T, n]$ and $n \ge n_0$, $|\delta\gamma_k^n(t)| \le C\varepsilon^{-1}e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}$ and

$$\sup_t(\|v_0^n(t)\|_{l^2} + \|\bar{v}_0^n(t)\|_{l^2}) \lesssim \|v_0^n(n)\|_{l^2} + \|\bar{v}_0^n(n)\|_{l^2} \le C\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(n)}. \tag{4.10}$$

Following the proof of Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 and 3.4 and making use of (4.10), we see that there exists a positive constant C such that for $n \ge n_0$ and $t \in [T, n]$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{s\in[t,n]} e^{\frac{1}{2}k_1\varepsilon d(s)}(|\delta c_k^n(s)| + \varepsilon^3|\delta\gamma_k^n(s)|) \\
\le{}& C\varepsilon^2 e^{-\frac{1}{2}k_1\varepsilon d(n)} + C\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\|\delta v_i^n\|_{Y_n(t)} + e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\|\delta v_i^n\|_{Y_n(t)\cap Z_{i,n}(t)}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

$$\|\delta v_k^n\|_{Z_{k,n}(t)} \le C\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}e^{-\frac{1}{2}k_1\varepsilon d(n)} + C\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\|\delta v_i^n\|_{Y_n(t)} + \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}}e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\|\delta v_i^n\|_{Y_n(t)}\right),$$

and

$$\|\delta v_k^n\|_{Y_n(t)}^2 \le C\left(\varepsilon^3 e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(n)} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1}\|\delta v_i^n\|_{Y_n(t)}^2 + \varepsilon^{-1}e^{-k_1\varepsilon d(t)}\sum_{i=1}^{N}\|\delta v_i^n\|_{Y_n(t)}^2\right).$$

Combining the above, we have for every $t \in [T, n]$ and $n \ge n_0$,

$$|\delta c_k^n(t)| + \varepsilon^3|\delta\gamma_k^n(t)| + \varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\delta v_k^n(t)\|_{l^2} \lesssim \varepsilon^2 e^{-\frac{1}{2}k_1\varepsilon(d(t)+d(n))}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sup_{s\in[t,n]}\|u(s) - \bar{u}(s)\|_{l^2} \\
\le{}& \sup_{s\in[t,n]}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{N}\|\delta v_k^n(s)\|_{l^2} + \sum_{k=1}^{N}\|u_{c_k^n(s)}(\cdot - x_k^n(s)) - u_{\bar{c}_k^n(s)}(\cdot - \bar{x}_k^n(s))\|_{l^2}\right) \\
\le{}& C\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}e^{-\frac{1}{2}k_1\varepsilon d(n)},
\end{aligned}$$

where C is a positive constant independent of $n \ge n_0$. Letting $n \to \infty$, we have

$$\sup_{t\ge T}\|u(t) - \bar{u}(t)\|_{l^2} = 0.$$

Thus we complete the proof of Theorem 1.1. □

Acknowledgment

This research is supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 21540220).

Appendix A. Size of u_c and ρ_c

In this section, we recollect estimates on the size of solitary waves u_c and the size of interaction between solitary waves for the sake of self-containedness. See [17, Appendix A] for the proof.

Claim A.1. *Let* $c = 1 + \frac{1}{6}\varepsilon^2$, $a \in (\frac{1}{4}\varepsilon, \frac{7}{4}\varepsilon)$ *and let* i *and* j *be nonnegative integers. Then*

$$\|\partial_x^i \partial_c^j u_c\|_{l^2_a \cap l^2_{-a}} = O(\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}+i-2j}), \quad \|J^{-1}\partial_x^i \partial_c^j u_c\|_{l^2_{-a}} = O(\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}+i-2j}),$$
$$\|\partial_x^i \partial_c^j u_c\|_{l^\infty_a \cap l^\infty_{-a}} = O(\varepsilon^{2+i-2j}), \quad \|J^{-1}\partial_x^i \partial_c^j u_c\|_{l^\infty \cap l^\infty_{-a}} = O(\varepsilon^{1+i-2j}).$$

Claim A.2. *Let* $0 < k_1 < k_2$ *and* $a \in [0, \frac{7}{4}\varepsilon)$*. Then there exists an* $\varepsilon_* > 0$ *such that if* $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_*)$ *and* $c_i = 1 + \frac{k_i^2\varepsilon^2}{6}$ *for* $i = 1,\ 2$*,*

$$\|\partial_x^{\alpha_1}\partial_c^{\beta_1}u_{c_1}(\cdot - x_1)\partial_x^{\alpha_2}\partial_c^{\beta_2}u_{c_1}(\cdot - x_2)\|_{l^\infty} = O(\varepsilon^{4+\alpha_1+\alpha_2-2(\beta_1+\beta_2)}e^{-k_1 a|x_2(t)-x_1(t)|}),$$
$$\|\partial_x^{\alpha_1}\partial_c^{\beta_1}u_{c_1}(\cdot - x_1)\partial_x^{\alpha_2}\partial_c^{\beta_2}u_{c_1}(\cdot - x_2)\|_{l^1} = O(\varepsilon^{3+\alpha_1+\alpha_2-2(\beta_1+\beta_2)}e^{-k_1 a|x_2(t)-x_1(t)|}).$$

Claim A.3. *Let* $a_1, \cdots, a_N \in \mathbb{R}$ *and* $I = \{\sum_{i=1}^N \theta_i a_i : 0 \le \theta_i \le 1$ *for* $1 \le i \le N\}$*. Suppose* $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ *and* $f(0) = 0$*. Then*

$$\left| f(\sum_{1\le i\le N} a_i) - \sum_{1\le i\le N} f(a_i) \right| \le \sup_{x\in I}|f''(x)| \sum_{i\ne j}|a_i a_j|.$$

Claim A.4. *Let* $a \in [0, 2k_1\varepsilon)$*. Then*

$$\|\partial_x^i \partial_c^j \rho_c\|_{l^2_a \cap l^2_{-a}} + \|J^i \partial_c^j \rho_c\|_{l^2_a \cap l^2_{-a}} = O(\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}+i-2j}),$$
$$\|\partial_x^i \partial_c^j \rho_c\|_{l^2_a \cap l^2_{-a}} + \|J^i \partial_c^j \rho_c\|_{l^\infty_a \cap l^\infty_{-a}} = O(\varepsilon^{2+i-2j}).$$

Claim A.5. *Let* $a > 0$ *and* $u = (u_1, u_2) \in l^2_{-a}$, $v = (v_1, v_2) \in l^2_a$ *and* J^{-1} *be an inverse operator of* J *defined as* (2.2)*. Then*

$$\langle u, J^{-1}v\rangle = -\langle u_1, \sum_{k=1}^\infty e^{k\partial}v_2\rangle - \langle \sum_{k=1}^\infty e^{k\partial}u_2, v_1\rangle,$$

and as $l \to \infty$*,*

$$\langle u, J^{-1}e^{l\partial}v\rangle = O(a^{-1}e^{-al}\|u\|_{l^2_{-a}}\|v\|_{l^2_a}),$$
$$\langle u, J^{-1}e^{-l\partial}v\rangle = -\langle u_1, 1\rangle\langle v_2, 1\rangle - \langle u_2, 1\rangle\langle v_1, 1\rangle + O(a^{-1}e^{-al}\|u\|_{l^2_{-a}}\|v\|_{l^2_a}).$$

Furthermore, $\langle u, J^{-1}u\rangle = -\langle u_1, 1\rangle\langle u_2, 1\rangle$ *if* $u \in l^2_a \cap l^2_{-a}$*.*

References

[1] E. Fermi, J. Pasta and S. Ulam, Studies of Nonlinear Problems. I, Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory Report LA-1940 (1955).

[2] G. Friesecke and R. L. Pego, Solitary waves on FPU lattices. I, Qualitative properties, renormalization and continuum limit, Nonlinearity 12 (1999), 1601–1627.

[3] G. Friesecke and R. L. Pego, Solitary waves on FPU lattices. II, Linear implies nonlinear stability, Nonlinearity 15 (2002), 1343–1359.

[4] G. Friesecke and R. L. Pego, Solitary waves on Fermi-Pasta-Ulam lattices. III, Howland-type Floquet theory, Nonlinearity 17 (2004), 207–227.

[5] G. Friesecke and R. L. Pego, Solitary waves on Fermi-Pasta-Ulam lattices. IV, Proof of stability at low energy, Nonlinearity 17 (2004), 229–251.

[6] G. Friesecke and J. Wattis, Existence theorem for solitary waves on lattices, Comm. Math. Phys. 161 (1994), 391–418.

[7] C. S. Gardner, J. M. Greene, M. D. Kruskal, R. M. Miura, Korteweg-de Vries equation and generalization. VI, Methods for exact solution, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 27 (1974) 97–133.

[8] A. Hoffman and C. E. Wayne, Counter-propagating two-soliton solutions in the Fermi-Pasta-Ulam lattice, Nonlinearity 21 (2008), 2911–2947.

[9] A. Hoffman and C. E. Wayne, Asymptotic two-soliton solutions in the Fermi-Pasta-Ulam model, J. Dynam. Differential Equations 21 (2009), 343–351.

[10] Y. Hiraoka and Y. Kodama, Normal Form and Solitons, in Integrability, A.V. Mikhailov ed., Lecture Notes in Physics 767, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg, 2009, 175–214.

[11] Y. Martel, Asymptotic N-soliton-like solutions of the generalized critical and subcritical Korteweg–de Vries equations, Amer. J. Math. 127 (2005), 1103–1140.

[12] Y. Martel and F. Merle, Multi solitary waves for nonlinear Schro"dinger equations, Ann. Inst. H. Poincare' Anal. Non Line'aire 23 (2006), 849–864.

[13] Y. Martel and F. Merle, Stability of two soliton collision for nonintegrable gKdV equations, Comm. Math. Phys. 286 (2009), 39–79.

[14] Y. Martel and F. Merle, Inelastic interaction of nearly equal solitons for the BBM equation, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 27 (2010), 487–532.

[15] Y. Martel, F. Merle and T. P. Tsai, Stability and asymptotic stability in the energy space of the sum of N solitons for subcritical gKdV equations, Comm. Math. Phys. 231 (2002), 347–373.

[16] T. Mizumachi, Asymptotic stability of lattice solitons, Comm. Math. Phys. 288 (2009), 125–144.

[17] T. Mizumachi, Asymptotic stability of N-solitons of the FPU lattices, http://arxiv.org/abs/0906.1320.

[18] T. Mizumachi and R. L. Pego, Asymptotic stability of Toda lattice solitons, Nonlinearity 21 (2008), 2099–2111.

[19] M. Toda, Theory of nonlinear lattices Second edition, Springer Series in Solid-State Sciences 20, Springer, Berlin, 1989.

Faculty of Mathematics, Kyushu University, Fukuoka 819-0395 Japan
E-mail address: `mizumati@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp`